# THE WALDENSES WERE INDEPENDENT BAPTISTS

## An Examination of the Doctrines of This Medieval Sect

BY THOMAS WILLIAMSON

ARCH 286 Wil

# INTRODUCTION TO THE PAPERBACK EDITION

I originally wrote this book in 1988 as a doctoral dissertation for a degree I earned (by correspondence) from Bethany Theological Seminary in Dothan, Alabama. It was published in 1996 in hardback (1000 copies) by the Historic Baptist, a ministry of Berea Baptist Church in Bloomfield, New Mexico. Shortly afterwards, the entire text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Archer, text was posted on the Internet by Landmark Independent Baptist Church of Arc

I am publishing the book again at this time (2007) in an inexpensive paperback edition suitable for free distribution, because the hardback edition is now almost out of print. In order to maintain the availability of this book for interested readers, I am printing it in a manner that retains the readability of the book without going to a lot of extra expense.

For this edition, I cut and pasted the entire text from the Landmark Independent web site, and reformatted it on my personal computer. I thought that would be a lot easier than typesetting the entire text all over again. In this process, I found that there significantly from the usability of this format.

This book is not copyrighted and it may be reproduced and republished in any form by anybody, as long as the text is not altered and credit is given for authorship. For other books and articles that I have written, please see my web site, currently located at <a href="https://www.thomaswilliamson.net">www.thomaswilliamson.net</a>.

# THE WALDENSES WERE INDEPENDENT BAPTISTS

An Examination of the Doctrines of this Medieval Sect

By Thomas Williamson 3131 S. Archer Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60608

This is a reprint of the hardback book originally published by

#### The Historic Raptist

P. O. Box 741
Bloomfield, New Mexico 87413
(A ministry of Berea Baptist Church, Bloomfield, New Mexico)

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION p.2

CHAPTER ONE ..... Mode of Baptism - Did the Waldenses Immerse? p.4

CHAPTER TWO . . . . . Infant Baptism - Were the Waldenses Pedobaptists? p.7

CHAPTER THREE . . . . . Waldensian Views on Transubstantiation and Other Roman Catholic Distinctives p.17

CHAPTER FOUR . . . . . Waldensian Views on Soteriology p.23

CHAPTER FIVE ...... Waldensian Views on the Trinity p.26

CHAPTER SIX . . . . . . Waldensian Views on Calvinism p.31

CHAPTER SEVEN . . . . Waldensian Views on Separation p.36

CHAPTER EIGHT . . . . Waldensian Church Government and Standards for Church Membership p.43

CHAPTER NINE ..... Were the Waldenses Manichaeans? p.50

CHAPTER TEN
Baptists? n.56 ..... When and Why Did the Waldenses Cease to be Baptists? p.56

CHAPTER ELEVEN . . . . Conclusion: Baptists Existed Prior to the Reformation, and are Not Protestants and are Not Protestants p.61

# INTRODUCTION

Of the numerous pre-Reformation sects which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which dissented from the Roman Catholic Church in Europe during the New York which are Church in Europe during the Middle Ages, the most famous, and the only sect to survive until modern times: survive until modern times, is that of the Waldenses, who were also known as in part warious other times, is that of the Waldenses, who were also known as in part warious other times. Vaudois and by various other names. Their homeland was in the valleys of the Alps valley and adjacent the valleys of the Alps valley and adjacent the valley of the valley and adjacent the valley and in northwestern Italy and adjacent portions of southeastern France, but from these valleys they spread into most if valleys they spread into most if not all the countries of Europe.

It is common for modern historians to trace the origin of the Waldenses to one However Waldo of Lyone France the origin of the Waldenses to one However waldo of Lyone France the origin of the Waldenses to one However waldo of Lyone France the origin of the Waldenses to one However waldo of Lyone France the origin of the Waldenses to one However waldo of Lyone France the origin of the Waldenses to one However walds and the countries of Europe. founder, Peter Waldo of Lyons, France, who began the movement about 1160 A.D. existed for many careful church biggs. However, many careful church historians have taken the view that the Waldenses in which the waldenses prior to Waldenses are the prior to Waldenses are the waldenses in which the walleys are the wall walley existed for many careful church historians have taken the view that the Waldenin which they lived, not for Waldo, and that they were named for the valleys merely marked, not for Waldo and that they were named for the valleys was to in which they lived, not for Waldo, and that they were named for the value merely merged into an already evice. They take the view that Waldo's movement that sect. The other properties to the properties to the properties of the properties to the properties of the merely merged into an already existing Waldensian sect, rather than giving rise to traced back to the sect. Those historians also tand a Waldensian sect, rather than giving rise to traced back to the sect. that sect. Those historians also tend to believe that the original Waldenses can be Apostolic Acc. traced back to the Novatian movement of the 3rd Century, and from there back to the holds.

Such authors and historians as Monastier, Jones, Robinson, Jarrel, Morland, Leger, Waller, Rav. Wylis Disk, Gilly, Comba Nolan Williams Montgomery, Newman, Jeare Christian, Faber, Allix, Gilly, Comba, Nolan, Wilkinson, Montgomery, Newman, Waller, Ray, Wylie, Broadbent, Overbey, Newman, Overbey, Overbey, Newman, Overbey, O Waller, Ray, Wylie, Broadbent, Overbey, Nevins, Orchard and Jonathan Edwards are

on record as affirming the existence of the Waldenses prior to the time of Peter Waldo, and many of these authorities regard the Waldenses as an important link in the chain of transmission of apostolic truth from the time of Christ to the era of the Reformation and the present day. Many Baptist authors, too numerous to mention. who believe in a form of Baptist succession or perpetuity over the last 2000 years, have claimed the Waldenses as Baptists, an assertion which, if true, conveniently bridges a gap of 1200 years between the Baptists of Constantine's time and the Anabaptists of the Reformation era.

This writer fully accepts the view that the Waldenses predate Peter Waldo and that they represent a strain of evangelical belief that can be traced back to the time of Constantine. However, there is a problem with the claim that the Waldenses were Baptists. The problem is that those of the Reformed theological persuasion have also claimed the Waldenses as a Reformed party. It is admitted by all that the Waldenses of present-day Italy are not Baptists, that they are now Reformed Pedobaptists (baptizers of infants), and that they have been so for about 450 years, since the time of the Reformation. If we are to claim the Waldenses as Baptists, then the burden of proof is on Baptists to examine the doctrinal beliefs of the Waldenses prior to the Reformation, to establish that those beliefs and practices were fundamentally Baptistic, and to explain when and why the Waldenses ceased to be Baptists.

The purpose of this dissertation is to conduct an objective examination of Waldensian belief and practice, without any preconceived or predetermined conclusions, to see if there is any scholarly basis for the statements commonly made by Baptist historians that the Waldenses were Baptists. Unless otherwise noted, all of our quotations, and conclusions based on those quotations, will have reference to pre-Reformation or medieval Waldenses.

In order to show that there were true Baptist churches in the Middle Ages, under the banner of the Waldenses, it is not necessary to prove that all of the churches of that name, in all places, were Baptistic at any given time, or that they were Baptistic at all points in their history. If it can be shown that only some of the Waldenses were truly Baptistic, this will prove our point. Similarly, if we wished to prove the existence of Baptists in 20th-Century America, we could freely admit that many in that era who called themselves Baptist were not truly Baptist in faith and practice, and that many so-called Baptists indulged in seriously heterodox beliefs, such as denial of Biblical inerrancy, that would disqualify them as true Baptist believers.

This admission would in no way disprove the thesis that some true Baptist churches did exist in 20th Communications. did exist in 20th-Century America.

In our references to Waldensian doctrine and practice, it will be understood that our statements are gone at a all who statements are generalizations which would not always be accurate as to all who called themselves Wold called themselves Waldenses, or were called that by their enemies, in all times and places. In many cases said places. In many cases evidence can be cited to show that some Waldenses believed the opposite of what were called that by their enemies, in all times the opposite of what were can be cited to show that some Waldenses believed the opposite of what we will present, but this does not weaken our case. The two statements, "Many 20th C statements, "Many 20th-Century American Baptists believed in Biblical inerrancy," are both and "Many 20th-Century American Baptists believed in Biblical inerrancy," are both accurate, and the fact that accurate, and the fact that some Baptists became apostate, whether in the 13th Century or the 20th in Baptists became apostate, whether in the Baptist Century or the 20th, in no way disproves the existence of other Baptists and Baptist churches that remained to way disproves the existence of other Baptists and Baptist discounters that remained to the standard to the stan churches that remained true to the faith. Jarrel gives us a good key for understanding different statements about the remained true to the faith. different statements about the Waldenses that appear to be in hopeless conflict:

"There is so much evidence that, in this period, there were parties of different characters, known as Wall, characters, known as Waldenses, that we must recognize different beliefs and shown as mong them. The practices among them. This will readily harmonize the different documents, showing some Waldenson S. Waldenso showing some Waldenses of this period remained in the church of Rome; some other bantism and separated from it; some were never in it; some may have had infant baptism and understand trumpers. other Romish trumpery, while most of them were Baptistic." [] With these practice unique in mind, we will be most of them were Baptistic." [] with these practice and other were baptistic. understandings in mind, we will examine various aspects of Waldensian doctrine and medieval vice which would be of interesting to the most of them were Baptistic." [1] With understandings in mind, we will examine various aspects of Waldensian doctrine and medieval vice whether the practice which would be of interest to Baptists today, to determine whether the understand Waldenses can be be a proper medieval Waldenses can honestly be regarded as Baptists. Because a proper or sect can be ordinanced by the ordinance of the o understanding of the ordinance of baptism is crucial in determining whether a church or sect can be called Baptist or sect can be called Baptist, we will explore that subject first.

CHAPTED COLUMN A larged Reputist Church Perpetuity, Dallas, published by the author, 1894, p. 161.

MODE OF BAPTISM - DID THE WALDENSES IMMERSE? It is necessary to consider the question of the mode of baptism used by the Baptists, reparally if they did not bear then they were not then they were not one Waldenses, because if they did not baptize by immersion, then they were not misconcention. Baptists, regardless of they did not baptize by immersion, then they were must avoid is the idea that they may have had. One misconception we must avoid is the idea that since the Roman Catholic Church

employs sprinkling as the mode of baptism today, therefore the Catholic Church ordinarily sprinkled during the Middle Ages. This idea is incorrect. There can be no doubt that immersion was the mode of baptism commonly used in the Catholic Church up to at least the 14th Century. Everts cites the teaching of Aquinas, one of the most prominent Catholic theologians of the 13th Century, on this subject:

"Thomas Aquinas, the chief of the schoolmen, who flourished about the year 1250, says, in his theology, that while immersion is not essential to the validity of baptism, still, as the old and common usage, it is more commendable and safer than pouring." [1] Christian affirms the same point:

'It is equally clear that the form of baptism was immersion. This was at the time, the practice of the whole Christian world. The great Roman Catholic writers affirm that immersion was the proper form of baptism. Peter the Lombard, who died A.D. 1164, declared without qualification for it as the proper act of baptism. Thomas Aquinas refers to immersion as the general practice of his day, and prefers it as the safer way, as did also Bonaventura and Duns Scotus" [2]Since the predominant mode of baptism in the Roman Catholic Church was immersion, at least until the 14th Century, the burden of proof would definitely rest on those who would propose that the Waldenses innovated with different modes of baptism, such as sprinkling, before sprinkling came into general practice in the Church of Rome. All the evidence we have indicates that the Waldenses shared with their Catholic contemporaries in the practice of immersion. Jarrel says:

"The Waldenses were Baptists in that they practiced only immersion. To all who are familiar with church history it is well known there was no affusion till the middle of the third century, and that from that time to the Reformation immersion was the rule and affusion allowed only in cases of sickness - called 'clinic baptism.'... While 'clinic baptism' was practiced by the Romish Church it was never sanctioned by any council until sanctioned by the Council of Ravenna, A.D. 1311.... Living in an age in which immersion was the universal law and the custom . . . and practicing only believer's baptism, rejecting, as we will see, water salvation, that the Waldenses were Baptists as to the action of baptism is the inevitable conclusion. . . . Mezeray says, 'In the 12th Century they (Waldenses) plunged the candidate in the sacred font." [3]If the early Waldensian literature lacks clear references as to their mode of baptism, this is

explained by the fact that that question was not a matter of controversy between them and their Catholic enomination. and their Catholic enemies. Robinson says:

"The first writers against the Vaudois never censured their mode of baptizing, for in those times all accept in cases for in those times all parties administered baptism by dipping, except in cases of danger." [4] Concerning B. Waldenses, of danger." [4]Concerning Peter Waldo, the supposed founder of the Waldenses, Jarrel quotes another authority:

"Samuel Schmucker says of the Baptists:"'As a sect they never existed ... until the rise of Peter Walds in the Baptists: "As a sect they never existed ... until the sect of the walds in the sect of the walls in the sect of the walls in the sect of the walls in the wall in the w the rise of Peter Waldo in the twelfth century who established the sect of the Waldenses among the Waldenses among the mountains of Piedmont. One of the most prominent doctrines of him and his followers was the impropriety of the baptism of infants and necessity of immercia and necessity of immersion to the validity of baptism." [5] Although many hefer would disagree with the validity of baptism. researchers would disagree with the notion that there were no Baptists or Waldenses Wald the 12th Century with the notion that there were no Baptists or Waldenses waldenses waldenses wall the 12th Century with the notion that there were no Baptists or Waldenses wall wall the property was the property of the property before the 12th Century, we can heartily agree with the conclusion that the early Waldenses practiced improve the second Waldenses practiced immersion. Christian says:

"The contemporary writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' written toward the contemporary writers and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' written toward the contemporary writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' written toward the contemporary writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' written toward the contemporary writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, Eberhard and Ermengard, in their work 'contra immers,' writers, and the contra immers, and the contra i Waldenses, written toward the close of the 12th Century, repeatedly refer to immersion as the form of baptism among the Waldenses." [6]

Concerning the 15th-Century Bohemian Waldenses, Broadbent says:

"One of the first things they (the Czech Brethren) did was to baptize those Waldensen the baptism of the present, for the baptism of believers by immersion was common to the interrunted. Waldenses and to most of the brethren in different parts, though it had been interrupted by pressure of personnel in different parts, though it had been interrupted by pressure of persecution." [7]

We can conclude this subject with the words of Ray:

"No historian has ever charged the ancient Waldenses with the practice of admitted that the pouring for bantism and pour laing sprinkling and pouring for baptism. We may consider it a point generally the burial in bands. admitted that the ancient Waldenses with the burial in baptism of those who are don't to be a point general. the burial in baptism of those who are dead to sin." [8]

Reformation, Nappanee, Indiana, Baptist Bookehale 1996 - 37 [2] John T. Christian, A Reformation, Nappanee, Indiana, Baptist Bookshelf, 1986, p. 37. [2] John T. Christian, A

History of the Baptists, Texarkana, Bogard Press, 1922, vol. 1, p. 81. [3] Jarrel, op. cit., pp. 162-163. [4] Robert Robinson, Ecclesiastical Researches, Gallatin, Tennessee, Church History Research and Archives, 1984, p. 468. [5] Jarrel, op. cit., p. 311. [6] Christian, op. cit., vol. 1, pp. 81-82. [7] E.H. Broadbent, The Pilgrim Church, Southampton, England, Camelot Press, 1985, p. 130. [8] David Burcham Ray, The Baptist Succession, Gallatin, Tennessee, Church History Research and Archives, 1984, p. 331.

#### CHAPTER TWO

#### INFANT BAPTISM - WERE THE WALDENSES PEDOBAPTISTS?

Having established that the Waldenses baptized by immersion, that is not sufficient to establish that they were Baptists, any more than the practice of immersion by such heterodox modern sects as the Mormons would qualify them to be regarded as Baptists. Perhaps the most obvious example of a non-Baptist yet immersionist church body would be the Greek Orthodox Church, which practiced baptism by immersion throughout the Middle Ages, and still does today, but also has practiced and continues to practice infant baptism.

If we are to regard the Waldenses as Baptists, we must firmly establish it as a fact that the Waldensian movement, at least in part, rejected infant baptism and insisted on baptism of believers only. This is especially necessary in light of the fact that the modern Waldenses are Pedobaptists.

Fortunately, we have the testimony of an eyewitness to the Waldensian movement of the 13th Century, Reinerius Saccho, who was a Roman Catholic inquisitor and persecutor of the Waldenses. Prior to becoming a Catholic, he was himself a Waldensian for 17 years. If anyone would know whether or not the Waldenses baptized infants, surely Reinerius would know. This is his accusation against the Waldenses in his book, "Of the Sects of Modern Heretics," published in 1254.

'Secondly, they condemn all the Sacraments of the Church; in the first place, as to baptism, they say that the Catechism is nothing - also, that the ablution which is given to infants profits nothing." [1] Everts also refers to the testimony of Reinerius Saccho:

'Reinerius, a renegade Waldensian preacher, turned inquisitor, informs us of

their practice in Italy. He says they discarded godfathers and confirmations, and denounced infant to the says they discarded godfathers and confirmations, and denounced infant to the says they discarded godfathers and confirmations. denounced infant baptism as a useless ablution. To the same effect Richinius affirms, that in the affirms, that in their opinion baptism was neither necessary nor useful for infants." [2] There was neither necessary nor useful waldenses infants." [2] There are numerous references showing that the medieval Waldenses were accused of rejection. were accused of rejecting infant baptism by their enemies. Concerning the followers of the 11th-Century Concerning the followers told: of the 11th-Century French reformer Berenger, or Berengarius, we are told:

"On his followers being examined, they said, 'Baptism did not profit children, Many Berengarians successful they said, 'Baptism did not profit children, and they said t Many Berengarians suffered death for their opinions, and for opposing infant baptism. Bellarming baptism. Bellarmine says, 'the Berengarians admitted only adults to baptism's which error the Anabortism's descriptions admitted only adults to baptism's were which error the Anabaptists embraced. . . . Berengarius and Vaudois were equivalent terms." [21] equivalent terms." [3]In 1025, the French Bishop Gerard made the accusation, according to Allix:

"When Bishop Gerard, of Arras and Cambray, charged the Waldenses with abhorring (Catholic) barras and Cambray, charged the Waldenses with abhorring (Catholic) baptism, they said baptism added nothing to our institution, and a strange to seem to the see justification, and a strange will, a strange faith, and a strange confession, do not seem to belong to, or be of a strange faith, and a strange confession, do not runs. seem to belong to, or be of any advantage to a little child, who neither wills, and a strange on fession, or be of any advantage to a little child, who neither wills, not and sales who knows nothing a few advantage to a little child, who neither wills, and sales who knows nothing a few advantage to a little child, who neither wills, and a few advantage to a little child, who neither wills, and a sales who knows nothing a few advantage to a little child, who neither wills, and a strange confession, and a strange confession, and a strange will, a strange faith, and a strange confession, and a strange confession and a stra runs, who knows nothing of faith, and is altogether ignorant of his own good no conference, in whom there were and from whom and salvation, in whom there can be no desire of regeneration, and from whom Roman Coston of faith can be no desire of regeneration. no confession of faith can be expected." [4] Orchard multiplies references from Catholics who complete expected." Roman Catholics who complained of the Waldensian rejection of infant baptism:

"The I

"The Lateran Council of 1139 did enforce infant baptism by severe measures, Everying councils conditions of the Waldensian rejection of the Waldensian rejection it. (Wall) and successive councils of 1139 did enforce infant baptism by severe measure. Everyinus of Stanfield complete was infected stanfield complete was infected stanfield. Evervinus of Stanfield complained the Waldenses for rejecting it. (www.was infected with Waldensian Louis Bernard, Abbot of Clairval, that Cologne Peter, Abbot waldensian Louis Bernard, Abbot of Clairval, that Cologne Peter, Abbot waldensian Louis Bernard, Abbot of Clairval, that Cologne Peter, Abbot waldensian Louis Bernard, Abbot of Clairval, their was infected with Waldensian heretics, who denied baptism to infants. (Allix) denying infants. (Clugny, wrote Peter, Abbot of Clairva, denying infant baptism, (Ivernal) against the Waldenses, on account of their Clairval, save baptism, (Ivernal) against the Waldenses, on account of their capacity of the save baptism. denying infant baptism. (Ivemey) Bernard, the saint, the renowned Abbot of adults. They do Albigenses and William, the saint, the renowned Abbot of adults. They do Albigenses and William, the saint, the renowned Abbot of the who Clairval, says, the Albigenses and Waldenses administer baptism only to the against the Waldenses, on according to the Albigenses and Waldenses administer baptism only to the against the tensor believe infant to the control of the against the tensor baptism only to the against the tensor baptism only to the control of the against the tensor baptism only to the control of the con adults. They do not believe infant baptism. . . . Ecbertus Schonaugiensis, who infants; therefore people, declared The saint, the renownto saint, the renownwrote against this people, declared The saint, the renowninfants; therefore people, declared The saint, the renowninfants; therefore people, declared The saint, the renownwrote against this people, declared The saint, the renowninfants; therefore people, declared The saint, the renowninfants adults. The saint is the saint is the renowninfants adults. They do not believe infant baptism. . . . Ecbertus Schonaugiensis, who
is the saint is the saint is the saint is the renowninfants; therefore people, declared The saint is wrote against this people, declares, They say that baptism does no good to that is, without the ascome over to the say that baptism does no good to a some over to the say that baptism does no good to the say that baptism does no good to a some over to the say that baptism does no good to the say the say that baptism does no good to the say the say that baptism does no good to the say the say that baptism does no good to the say the say that baptism does no good to the say the s infants; therefore, such as come over to their sect, they baptize in a private way; declared and public pomp and public for control of the cotholics. (Wall) Alexander III, in council condemned the ordinance to children to infants. (Danvere) Alexander or Puritan heresy, for denying baptism to infants. (Danvers) Alanus Magnus states that they denied

'Almost all Roman Catholic writers agree with Cardinal Hosius, who says: 'The Waldenses rejected infant baptism.' Addis and Arnold declare of them: 'As to baptism, They said that the washing of infants was of no avail to them.'. Ermengard, about A.D. 1192, says: 'They pretend that this sacrament cannot be conferred except upon those who demand it with their own lips; hence they infer the other error, that baptism does not profit infants who receive it.'.. Stephen of Borbone says, A.D. 1225: 'One argument of their error is, that baptism does not profit little children to their salvation, who have neither the motive nor the act of faith, as it is said in the latter part of Mark, he who will not believe will be condemned.' . . . Moneta, the Dominican, who wrote before A.D 1240: 'They maintain the nullity of the baptism of infants, and affirm that no one can be saved before attaining the age of reason.' . . . One of the Austrian Inquisitors, A.D. 1260: 'Concerning baptism, some err in saying that little children are not saved by baptism, for the Lord says, he that believeth and is baptized shall be saved. Now, a child does not yet believe, consequently is not saved' (By baptism, he must mean.)"Some of them baptize over again, others lay on hands without baptism''' David of Augsburg, A.D. 1256-1272: 'They say that a man is then truly, for the first time, baptized, when he is brought into their heresy. But some say that baptism does not profit little children, because they are never able actually to believe.' [6] Waller cites these authorities:

Bishop Usher, on the authority of Koveden's Annals, states, that in the year 1176, the 'Boni homines of Toulouse,' (a name given to the Waldenses), were summoned before a meeting of bishops, abbots, etc., and required to recant their errors by subscribing to a creed drawn up for the purpose. In the creed was the following article: 'We believe also that no person is saved but he that is baptized: and that infants are saved by baptism.' Being urged to subscribe and swear to this creed, they positively and perseveringly refused. . . . The Book of Sentences of the inquisition of Toulouse informs us, that the Waldenses hold, that baptism by water administered by the church is of no use to children, because the children, so far from giving assent to it, cried at it." [7] As late as the 16th Century, Cardinal Hosius made the same accusation against the Waldenses:

Cardinal Hosius, who presided at the Council of Trent, and wrote a history of the heresy of his own times, says, the Waldenses rejected infant baptism, and re-baptized all who embraced their sentiments." [8] So far we have heard only

from the enemies of the Waldenses, who appear to have been unanimous in declaring that they rejected in a sign intended that they rejected infant baptism. But suppose this was a false accusation, intended to blacken the reputation. to blacken the reputation of the Waldenses and make them odious as the deniers of salvation to babis. salvation to babies? After all, the Waldenses were also accused of being Manichaeans, a charge that we will see later on was false.

It is quite evident, though, that the charge that the medieval Waldenses rejected infant baptism must be a the charge that the medieval waldenses rejected infant baptism must have been true, because they suffered great persecutions as a result of that belief and the result of that belief, and there is no record that they ever denied their opposition to infant baptism. The Well is no record that they ever denied their opposition to infant baptism. infant baptism. The Waldenses could have spared themselves many severe tribulations at the hands of the severe could have spared themselves many severe tribulations at the hands of the severe could have spared themselves many severe tribulations at the hands of the severe could have spared themselves many severe tribulations at the hands of the severe could have spared themselves many severe could have spared the could have spared themselves many severe could have spared the could have spared the could have spared the could tribulations at the hands of the Inquisition over the centuries, had they merely spoken up and said, "Yes. we do to the Inquisition over the centuries, had they merely spoken that up and said, "Yes, we do believe in infant baptism." But there is no evidence that they ever did. Waller says:

"If the charge of infant baptism was a calumny, it was one constantly and which the was a calumny persisted in the which the constant was a calumny because which the constant was a calumny to the constant was a calum universally persisted in by their enemies for centuries; and one which the own and after their own after their own and after their own after their own and after their own after t Waldenses, nor any portion of them, until after the Reformation and after their own acknowledged deflection from the doctrine of their fathers, ever denied. OConcerning this point, Ray tells us:

"It is conceded as a fact, by all candid historians, that the Roman Catholics not constant. Waldenson and the Waldenson Catholics not constant. only accused the Waldenses of neglecting infant baptism, but they waged This would be would be well against the constant persecution against the constant baptism. constant persecution against them in order to force them to baptize their infants.

[10]Orchard not have been the This would not have been the case had the Waldenses been Pedo-baptists. the Waldenses been pedo-baptists. the Waldenses was correct:

"In those bulls of popes and decrees of councils, year after year for centuries, without the charge maintained sinst we see the charge maintained against them, of neglecting infant baptism, any portion of the office that the shadow of evidence the shadow of evidence that the shadow of evidence the shadow of eviden without the shadow of evidence that this charge was improperly made against people. Nor is the charge was improperly made against the c any Portion of this people. Nor is there any document or testimony, quoted by charged in this period, showing the any document or testimony, quoted by the charge of this period, showing the charge of the control of t Pedobaptists of this people. Nor is there any document or testimony, quoted charged in this period, showing that the Waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses and the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses and the waldenses are the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses are the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses are the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses are the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses are the waldenses as a body were wrongly decreased with the waldenses are the waldenses and the charged in this period, showing that the Waldenses as a body were wrong describe the practice who survey the available literature on the literature on the practice who survey the available literature on the literature on the literature of the practice who survey the available literature on the literature on the literature of the literature on the literature of the medieval Waldenses will find that the Waldenses as a body wedescribe the practice of some compromisers to infant baptism among them that the only references to infant baptism among them that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense compromisers to the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense that the pressure of intense the pressure of intense the pressure of describe the practice of some compromisers who, under the pressure of intense that they might appear to the Call into persecution, took their infant compromisers who, under the pressure of intentional that they might appear to conform to the Catholic priests for baptism, in order that they might appear to conform to the Catholic priests for baptism, in orun to the Catholic system. Not all Waldenses fell into

this dissimulation, and there are no clear references showing that the Waldenses baptized their infants themselves. Even if some of them did, this would in no way detract from the fact that many Waldenses rejected infant baptism.

Now that we have heard from the enemies of the Waldenses, let us hear from the Waldenses themselves concerning their views on infant baptism. Infant baptism is denounced in a treatise on Antichrist, dating from the 12th Century, which was preserved among the Waldenses of the Alps, and brought to England by Samuel Morland, who was Oliver Cromwell's ambassador to the court of Savoy until 1658. Morland dates the manuscript from the time of the French evangelical preacher Peter of Bruys, and perhaps it was written by him. The evidence here is especially valuable since it was published by Morland, who was a Pedobaptist and wrote for an audience that was persuaded that the Waldenses had always been of the Reformed faith; thus Morland cannot be accused of any partiality towards the Baptists. The manuscript reads:

"The third work of Antichrist consists in this, that he attributed the regeneration of the Holy Spirit unto the dead outward work, baptizing children in that faith, and teaching that thereby baptism and regeneration must be had." [12] Further on in the treatise, the ancient author goes into greater detail as to what he is opposed to:

"That which is of no necessity in the administration of baptism, is the exorcism, the breathing on, the sign of the cross, upon the infant's breast and forehead, the salt which they put into his mouth, the spittle put to his ears, and nose, the anointing of his breast, the capuchin, the unction on the crown of the head, and all the rest of those things consecrated by the bishop, putting wax in their hands, arraying them in white, blessing the water, plunging the infant three times, seeking for godfathers: all these things commonly practiced about the administration of this sacrament are needless, as being not at all of the substance of, nor requisite in the sacrament of baptism; these things giving but occasion to many that they rather fall into error and superstition, than that they should be edified by them to salvation; which made some doctors profess, that there was no virtue, nor benefit to be had by them." [13] These statements appear to constitute an unmistakably clear condemnation of the practice of infant baptism. But it may be objected that modern-day Presbyterians might condemn the Roman Catholic practice of infant baptism in the same harsh terms, while practicing a

different, Reformed version of infant baptism.

There is every reason to believe, however, based on the original documents presented by Morland, that the W. L. for haptism. by Morland, that the Waldenses insisted on faith as a prior condition for baptism. Consider, for instance the condition of faith: Consider, for instance, this article from an ancient Waldensian confession of faith:

"We believe, that in the sacrament of baptism, water is the visible and external sign, which represent sign, which represents unto us that which (by the invisible virtue of operating) is within operating) is within us; namely, the renovation of the Spirit, and the mortification of our manufactures. mortification of our members in Jesus Christ; by which also we are received into the holy congress. into the holy congregation of the people of God, there protesting and declaring openly our faith and are not the people of God, there protesting and is used by openly our faith and amendment of life." [14] Language of this kind is used by faith. Baptists, not by Pedo-baptists. Do infants mortify their members? Do they exercise faith, or openly declare their control of the shown and their control of the shown as the s faith, or openly declare their faith in the assembly of God's people? Do they explored of amendment of the assembly of God's people? evidence of amendment of life after they have been baptized? To apply confessional statement of the after they have been baptized? confessional statement of this kind to the baptism of infants would be absurd.

Then there is this question and answer preserved from an ancient Waldensian

"Minister: By what marks is the undue administration of the sacrament sacrame." When the known? Answer: When the priests not knowing the intention of Christ in the sacraments, say, that the priests not knowing the intention of Christ in the external sacraments, say, that the grace and the truth is included in the external truth and persuade manter. ceremonies, say, that the grace and the truth is included in the extertruth, and without faith." [ISJTL: participation of the sacrament without the participation of the sacrament without faith." [ISJTL: participation of the sacrament without the participation of the sacrament without faith." [ISJTL: participation of the sacrament without the participation of the sacrament without faith." [ISJTL: participation of the sacrament without the the sacramen truth, and without faith." [15] This language definitely excludes infants from Nobla I am in the ordinance of barrier and the truth is included in the included in the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the truth is included in the included in the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the truth is included in the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the truth is included in the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the truth is included in the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation in the ordinance of barrier and the participation of the sacrament without participation of the participation of the sacrament without participati participation in the ordinance of baptism, since they cannot exercise faith. Also, of believer's L. or Noble I associated in terms Nobla Leycon, or Noble Lesson, dating from about 1100, speaks in terms of baptism, which would be about 1100, speaks in terms believer's baptism, which would certainly exclude baptism of infants:

Greeks, working many miracles And Christ, They preached to Jews and of Jesus Chains many miracles And in the mame need to see and the ne Greeks, working many miracles, And baptized those who believed in the name Christians for Was there are the called of Jesus Christ, Then miracles, And baptized those who believed in the name faith presented by believed in Christians, for they believed in Christians, for they believed in Christians, for they believed in Christians of they believed in Christians of they believed in Christians of the confessions of the confession of the confessions of the confession of the conf Christians, for they believed in Christ. "[16] The pre-Reformation confessions of all available evid or who would have be presented by Morland, who would have be presented by morland. The pre-Reformation confessions of a such a such as a such a such as the such as a faith presented by Morland, who would have had every reason and desire to present evidences or references that the Waldson evidences or references to the work of the work of the waldson evidences or references to the waldson evidences or references or references to the waldson evidences or references to the waldson evidences or references or all available evidences that the Waldenses were Pedobaptists, contain no such westminster Conc. In contrast consider the Pedobaptists, contain no such waldenses were Pedobaptists was also were pedobaptists which was also were pedobaptists w evidences or references that the Waldenses were Pedobaptists, contain no survey Confession of Faith, propulated in 1649 (189) years before Morland Westminster Confession of Faith, promulgated in 1648 (ten years before Morland

wrote his book on the Waldenses) as a classic expression of Reformed convictions. These statements from Chapter 28 leave no doubt as to whether infants were to be baptized:

"Not only those that do actually profess faith in and obedience unto Christ, but also the infants of one, or both, believing parents, are to be baptized.... The efficacy of baptism is not tied to that moment of time wherein it is administered; yet, notwithstanding, by the right use of this ordinance, the grace promised is not only offered, but really exhibited, and conferred, by the Holy Ghost, to such (whether of age or infants) as that grace belongeth unto, according to the counsel of God's own will, in His own time." [17]If such a clear affirmation of infant baptism among the ancient Waldenses existed, it would have been brought forth by Pedobaptist scholars, but no such evidence has yet surfaced. According to Ray,

Even the learned Dr. Wall after all his efforts to find infant baptism among the Waldenses admits that in their older confessions the Waldenses say nothing about infant baptism." [18] Not only is there a lack of affirmation of a belief in infant baptism among the early Waldenses, but there is evidence that they openly rejected that doctrine. Everts says:

The creed of the Bohemian Waldenses published in 1532 (quoted by Sterck) is equally explicit on this point of dispute: 'It is clear as day that infant baptism does no good, and is not ordered by Christ, but invented by man. Christ wants His baptism based upon His word for the forgiveness of sins, and then He promises, he that believeth and is baptized shall be saved." [19] Says Orchard:

"Jacob Merning says that he had, in the German tongue, a confession of faith of the Baptists, called Waldenses, which declared the absence of infant baptism in the early churches of these people, that their forefathers practised no such thing...."[20] A survey of church historians leads us to the conclusion that among the Waldenses there were many who boldly rejected infant baptism. Robinson makes these remarks:

They held some articles peculiar to the Dutch Baptists; as, that it was unlawful for a Christian to take oaths, to bear arms, to shed human blood, to baptize children, and so on." [21]

"They are also distinguished from the later Vaudois, and the reformed churches... by not practicing in a given of them oby not practicing infant baptism. . . . This was the account given of them after their union with the Waldenses." [22]

"In regard to baptism, nothing can be determined by any writings of their own for they published and they baptized for they published nothing can be determined by any writings of the minors, after they had a practice in the minors, after they had been instructed, which was the general practice in the time of Claude, and there is no positive proof, and there can be none, that they baptized babes. If as were instructed proof, and there can be none, that their baptized babes. If, as was just now observed, their modern paper describes their ancient customs, they bear ancient customs, they baptized no babes." [23]

## Says Orchard:

"The old, or primitive Waldenses, were distinguished by the doctrine and and be a Christian libert with the second control of the Trinity, and be a control of the Trinity, and the practice of Christian liberty. . . . They believed in the doctrine of the Trinity and baptized believers. They believed in the doctrine of the into use and baptized believers. They believed in the doctrine of the in other churches." [24]s in other churches." [24]Says Vedder:

"The balance of evidence is therefore clearly in favor of the conclusion that the [25]Save Or Waldo to the conclusion of believers only." early followers of Waldo taught and practiced the baptism of believers only.

"It is possible that some of the Italian Waldenses (so-called) practiced infant proper, ever There is no account talian Waldenses (so-called) practiced infant proper, ever the Waldenses baptism... There is no account that the French Waldenses, or the Waldenses, proper, ever practiced infant baptism." [26]

"The Waldenses scattered in the Netherlands might be called their salt, so them. It is indeed views and down Netherlands might be called their salt, so them. It is indeed to the Netherlands might be called their salt, so them. It is indeed to the Netherlands might be called their salt, so the Netherlands might be called their salt. correct were their views and devout their lives. The Mennonites sprang from baptism." [27] them. It is indubitable that they rejected infant baptism, and used only adult

Says Armitage: "Some of the early members of the sect may have earnestly practiced only the Lamb while it is contained on the Dispersed did and rejected infant baptism, while it is certain that many of the Dispersed did and "Many of believers" 1993 practiced infant baptism, while it is certain that many of the baptism of believers." [28] Says Newman: "Many of them rejected infant baptism, as did Peter de Bruys and most of the

evangelicals whom we meet in the twelfth century before Waldo." [29]Says

"There was no uniformity among them. A number of them, particularly in the early part of their history, judged that baptism should be administered to believers only, and acted accordingly; others entirely rejected that ordinance, as well as the Lord's Supper; a third class held to Paedobaptism. If the question relate to the Waldenses in the strict and modern sense of the term, that is, to the inhabitants of the valleys of Piedmont, there is reason to believe that originally the majority of them were Baptists, although there were varieties of opinion among them, as well as among other seceders from the Romish church. But the language of some of their confessions cannot be fairly interpreted except on Baptist principles." [30] With these scholarly opinions before us, there can be no doubt that among those called Waldenses there were many who held to the Baptist position of rejection of infant baptism. This should not surprise us. The Waldenses were part of the same honorable tradition of evangelical dissent which produced the great French reformers, Peter of Bruys and Henry of Lausanne, in the 12th Century, and there is every reason to believe that they and their followers opposed infant baptism. Monastier says:

Peter the Venerable, abbot of Clugny, attributes to Pierre de Bruis the five following points of doctrine, which he states in his ninth letter, entitled, Against the Petrobrussians,' and addressed to the archbishop of Arles and Embrun, as well as to the bishops of Gap and Die.

"1. He (Pierre de Bruis) denies that children, before they arrive at years of intelligence, can be saved by baptism, or that the faith of another person can be useful to them, since, according to those of his opinion, it is not the faith of another which saves, but the faith of the individual with baptism, according to our Lord's words: 'He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be damned." [31] Monastier also quotes from the Magdeburgh Centuriators concerning the followers of Henry of Lausanne:

The same centuriators have also extracted from the writings of Bernard the errors which he noticed in the Apostolic heretics. We translate the passage: 'The Apostolicals or Henricians; their doctrines, according to St. Bernard, as far as they can be ascertained, are: 1. that infants ought not to be baptized....

[32]The cautious historian Armitage does not hesitate to embrace Peter of Bruys. Henry of Lausanne, and their followers, as Baptists, saying:

"In the Petrobrussians we find a sect of Baptists for which no apology is needed."

Peter of Bruis soired it. Peter of Bruis seized the entire Biblical presentation of baptism, and forced its teaching home upon the teaching home upon the conscience and the life, by rejecting the immersion of babes and insisting on the conscience and the life, by rejecting the without any babes and insisting on the immersion of all believers in Christ, without admixture of Cathonics. admixture of Catharistic nonsense. . . . Such a bold soul had Christ been preparing in Henry 41. preparing in Henry, the next brave Baptist of the Swiss valleys." [33]The ministry of Peter and Linear Baptist of the Swiss valleys." [33]The ministry of Peter and Linear Baptist of the Swiss valleys." ministry of Peter and Henry created a sensation in France, as multitudes flocked to hear them preach. It is increased a sensation in France, as multitudes flocked to hear them preach. hear them preach. It is incredible that their principles, including opposition to infant baptism, should have failed to a sensation in France, as multitudes flow in fant baptism, should have failed to a sensation in France, including opposition to lived in the sense who live baptism, should have failed to find any expression among the Waldenses, who lived in the same regions as the part. in the same regions as the Petrobrussians and Henricians.

Armitage points out that evidences of opposition to infant baptism can be found northwest the early Middle A. throughout the early Middle Ages. One of the hotbeds of such sentiment was northwestern Italy, home of the Piedmontese Waldenses:

Infant baptism was opposed at every step. Dr. Allix speaks of a people in Turin and complete vehicles of vehicles of vehicles of vehicles of vehicles of vehicles. Milan who vehemently condemned it as an error, and the Bishop of Vercelli solution would do them in 945 12 475. complained of them in 945. [34] The burden of proof definitely rests on those who evidence that any of the Wall. would deny that any of the Waldenses opposed infant baptism. There is irrefutable to infant bast. evidence to show that many Waldenses opposed infant baptism. There is irrefulate infant baptism. Waldenses held the modern Baptist position with regard

Alfred Knopf, 1970, p. 223. Onoted for the Albigenses and Waldenses, 1832, 18, p. 223. Onoted for the Albigenses and the Albigenses and the Albigenses and the Albigenses. Alfred Knopf, 1970, p. 223. Quoted from S.R. Maitland, History of the Albigenses and Texarkana, Boss. [2] Everts, op 6it. Waldenses, 1832. [2] Everts, op. cit., p. 46. [3] G.H. Orchard, A Concise History of Baptists, Piedmont, p. 95 Texarkana, Bogard Press, 1987, p. 180. [4] Ibid., p. 299. Quoted from Allix, Churches of Island, p. 95. [5] Ibid., pp. 299-301.

Press, 1988, pp. 302-303.[7] John I Waller "Waldenses Baptists of Op. cit." Press, 1988, pp. 302-303.[7] John L. Waller, "Were the Waldenses Baptists of Solution of the Baptists," Western Review Japaneses 1940 = 20 32 [8] Orchard, op. cit., p. Pedo-Baptists?, pp. 302-303.[7] John L. Waller, "Were the Waldenses Baptists (303.[12] Samuel Morland, pp. 19-20. [10] Ray on Sit (2011) Page 171 [11] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 19-20. [10] Ray on Sit (2011) Page 171 [11] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 19-20. [10] Ray on Sit (2011) Page 171 [11] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 19-20. [10] Ray on Sit (2011) Page 171 [11] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 172 [10] Page 172 [10] Page 173 [10] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 174 [10] Or p. 304. [9] Waller, "Western Baptist Review, January, 1849, pp. 30, 32. [8] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 19-20. [10] Ray, op. cit., p. 171. [11] Orchard, op. cit., op.

Piemont, Gallatin, Tennessee, Church History Research and Archives, 1982, pp. 148-149. [13] Ibid., p. 173. [14] Ibid., p. 38. [15] Ibid., p. 81. [16] Ibid., pp. 112-113. [17] Westminster Confession of Faith, Philadelphia, Great Commission Publications, n.d., p. 17. This version of the confession is used by the Orthodox Presbyterian Church. [18] Ray, op. cit., p. 167. [19] Everts, op. cit., pp. 45-46. [20] Orchard, op. cit., p. 328. [21] Robinson, op. cit., pp. 311-312. [22] Ibid., pp. 461-462. [23] Ibid., pp. 470-471. [24] Orchard, op. cit., p.

[25] H.C. Vedder, A Short History of the Baptists, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania, Judson Press, 1907, pp. 126-127. [26] Christian, op. cit., p. 77. [27] Ibid., p. 138. [28] Armitage, op. cit. pp. 303-304. [29] Alfred Henry Newman, A Manual of Church History, Philadelphia, American Baptist Publication Society, 1899, vol. 1, p. 580. [30] J.M. Cramp, Baptist History, Watertown, Wisconsin, Baptist Heritage Publications, 1987, p. 121. [31] Antoine Monastier, A History of the Vaudois Church, New York, Lane and Scott, 1849, p. 52. [32] lbid., p. 54. [33] Armitage, op. cit., pp. 284, 288. [34] Ibid., p. 247.

#### CHAPTER THREE

#### WALDENSIAN VIEWS ON TRANSUBSTANTIATION AND OTHER ROMAN CATHOLIC DISTINCTIVES

Before we can accept the Waldenses as Baptists, we must be assured that they held to a Baptistic position concerning the ordinance of the Lord's Supper as a memorial of the of the death of Christ. Fortunately, there is abundant evidence to show that the Waldenses held a correct view of the Lord's Supper, and rejected the sacrifice of the mass, in an age when those who held such a position were often punished with death.

Orchard assures us that the Waldenses rejected any sacerdotal interpretation of the ordinances of baptism and the Lord's Supper:

These people contended that . . . the only ordinances Christ hath appointed for the churches, are baptism and the Lord's Supper; that they are both symbolical ordinances, are baptism and the Lord's Supper; that they are some preserved by Morlandes, or signs of holy things." [1] The ancient confessions preserved by Morland confirm for us that the Waldenses regarded the Lord's Supper as a memorial and not a sacrifice. In one confession we find this clear statement:

"Article 8: We hold, that the Holy Sacrament of the table or Supper of our Lord Jesus Charles and the Holy Sacrament of the table or Supper of our Lord Jesus Christ is an holy commemoration, and giving of thanks for the benefits

which we have received by His death and passion. . . ." [2]In the treatise on Antichrist we are told that the eating of Christ's body was not to be regarded literally:

"The manducation or eating of the Sacramental Bread is the eating of Christ's body figuratively, Christ having said, Whensoever ye do this, do it in remembrance of me. . . ." [3] Ray states that the Waldenses were communionists, not recognizing the ordinance of the Lord's Supper as valid when conducted by other churches:

"The fact that the Waldenses maintained that the only true church was among themselves, furnished evidence that they did not commune with others; for they regarded communion as a church ordinance in the kingdom of Christ; they could not, therefore, give or receive the Lord's Supper beyond the limits of the church.... No historian, known to me, has ever dared to assert that the ancient witnessing Waldenses were open communionists." [4] Not only did the Waldenses positively affirm a scriptural doctrine of the Lord's Supper, but they took a strong stand against the false Roman Catholic doctrine of transubstantiation, in which the priests supposedly created God, transmuting the elements of bread and wine into the himself opposed and rejected that doctrine:

"Men fell down before the consecrated wafer and worshipped it as God; and Waldo, who opposed it in a most courageous manner." [5] The 13th-Century They do not be accused the Waldenses of denying transubstantiation:

"They do not believe the body and blood of Christ to be the true sacrament, but it is said, 'and the rock was Christ'" [6] An ancient confession dated at about 1120 "Article 10.

"Article 10: We have always accounted as an unspeakable abomination before water which they call holy, as likewise to abstain from flesh upon certain days, to them this teaching:

"Article 10: We have always accounted as an unspeakable abomination before and the like, but especially as likewise to abstain from flesh upon certain days, to them this teaching:

"ITThe Bohemian Picards or Waldenses of rejecting transubstantiation. Robinson ascribes

"Christ is not in the sacrament of the altar, but in heaven at the right hand of the Father to be adored." [8] Modern historians appear to be in agreement that the denial of transubstantiation was a central doctrine of the Waldenses. Says Wylie:

"They were accused, moreover, of having scoffed at the doctrine of transubstantiation." [9] Says Bainton:

"The Waldenses were widespread in southern France and were soon joined by followers in northern Italy. At first they were not heretical but merely anti-clerical. But, eventually they went so far as to maintain that sacraments administered by unworthy priests were invalid, recalling the ancient history of the Donatists." [10] There is abundant reason to believe that the Waldenses regarded all Roman Catholic priests as unworthy to administer the Lord's Supper, not so much because of their evil manner of life, which the Waldenses exposed, but mainly because they were not part of a true church. They were accused by Reinerius Saccho, no doubt correctly, of believing that the Roman Catholic Church was not a true church:

"First, they say that the Romish Church is not the Church of Jesus Christ, but a church of malignants and that it apostatized under Sylvester, when the poison of temporalities was infused into the church. And they say, that they are the church of Christ, because they observe both in word and deed, the doctrine of Christ, of the Gospel, and of the Apostles" [11] So there can be no question that the Waldenses rejected the idea that any Catholic priest could worthily administer the Lord's Supper, let alone perform the feat of transubstantiation. Newman tells us: "They rejected the Roman Catholic doctrine of transubstantiation and insisted that Christ is present in the bread and wine only spiritually." [12]

The Waldensian rejection of the mass was one of the reasons for the fierce persecution of the Waldenses in Piedmont in the year 1655. Morland has preserved a confession of faith from that year, containing this bold statement:

"15. That Jesus Christ having fully expiated our sins by His most perfect sacrifice once offered on the Cross, it neither can, nor ought to be reiterated upon any account whatsoever, as they pretend to do in the Mass." [13] It was at this time that Waldensian denial of the efficacy of the sacrifice of the mass became an item of bitter complaint against them by the Roman Catholic Church:

"The Romish clergy . . . complained to the Archbishop of Turin, that the Waldenses of the yell Waldenses of the valleys of Piedmont were heretics. They alleged the following reasons: that they did reasons: that they did not believe in the doctrines of the Church of Rome; that they made no offering a that they they made no offerings for the dead; that they did not go to mass; that they neither confessed no. helieve in neither confessed nor received absolution; that they did not go to mass; the purgatory, nor payers received absolution; that they did not believe in purgatory, nor pay money to get the souls of their friends released." [14]One of the most severe personnel. the most severe persecutions ever unleashed against the Waldenses took place in 1655. We are specifically a died for their 1655. We are specifically told that individual Waldenses suffered and died for their refusal to attend mass. The specific account: refusal to attend mass. The contemporary chronicler Morland gives this account:

"Jacopo di Rone, a schoolmaster of Roras, being stripped stark naked, after th<sup>al</sup> they had torn off his nails with pincers, and made a thousand holes in his hands with a dagger's point with a dagger's point, was dragged by a cord that was fastened about his middle, through the human and along, one middle, through the burg of Lucerna, and every step as he marched along, and of the soldiers on one side cut off a piece of his flesh with a fauchion, the another on the other side cut off a piece of his flesh with a fauchion, the following words. The following words are side gave him a great blow with a staff, crying in the following words. following words . . . 'Well! what sayest thou now Barbet, will thou yet go to Mass?' To which the pool of the sayest thou now Barbet, will thou yet go to be a sayed thou now Barbet, will thou yet go to be a sayed thou now Barbet, will thou yet go to be a sayed thou now Barbet, will thou yet go to be a sayed thou now Barbet, will though as he will be a sayed thou now Barbet, will though as he will be a sayed thou now Barbet, will though a sayed thou now Barbet, will be a sayed to be a say Mass? To which the poor creature with incredible constancy, as long as he was me and a speak, made answer. able to speak, made answer, ... "Much rather death, than the Mass! Dispatch his person the love of Coddinate and the constant of the love of Coddinate and the constant of the love of Coddinate and the codd by me quickly for the love of God!" [15] This brave believer was finally beheaded by his persecutors.

Another victim of this persecution was Daniel Rambaut of Villaro, who was slowly tortured to death over a period of disconviction. tortured to death over a period of days, after giving to the priests this account of his convictions concerning the mass:

Words of consecration perform a believe the real presence in the host is blasphemy and idolatry. To fancy the converting the c words of consecration perform what the papists call transubstantiation, by which was a blood of Christ, converting the wafer and wine into the identical body and blood of converting the wafer and wine into the identical body and blood of christ, an absurdiff, and which are which was crucified, and which afterwards ascended into heaven, is too gross superstition for even a child to leave a south the most blind to the most blind an absurdity for even a child to believe, and nothing but the most blind ridiculous." [16] make the Power and nothing but the most blind principle. superstition could make the Roman Catholics put confidence in anything so who rejected the as 1685 was a child to be a confidence in anything so any superstition could make the Roman Catholics put confidence in anything so any any ridiculous." [16] As late as 1685 we read of a congregation of Austrian Waldenses influence from the mass, claiming to a congregation of Austrian Waldenses without any who rejected the mass, claiming to have come to that conviction without any

April, 1685 about 500 persons, of different sexes and ages, passed through

Coire (a town in Switzerland) who gave this account of themselves. They were inhabitants of a valley in Tirol, belonging mostly to the archbishops of Saltzburgh - a remnant of the old Waldenses. They worshipped neither images nor saints; and they believed the sacrament (of the Lord's Supper) was only a commemoration of the death of Christ; and in many other points they had their opinions different from those of the church of Rome. They knew nothing of either Lutherans or Calvinists." [17] There is abundant evidence that the Waldenses, like the later Lutherans, recognized only two of the seven Roman Catholic Catholic sacraments, baptism and the Lord's Supper, while disagreeing with the Catholics as to the significance of those two. The other sacraments were totally rejected. The Bohemian Waldenses were accused by their enemies of holding these Catholic sacraments in contempt, believing

"That the confirmation which is celebrated with anointing and extreme unction, is none of the confession is is none of the sacraments of the church of Christ - That auricular confession is a piece of foppery - That everyone ought, in his closet, to confess his sins to God." ... [18] The confession of Angrogne in 1532 made this declaration concerning

"Auricular confession is not commanded of God, and it hath been determined according to Transfess to God alone according to Holy Scriptures, that the true confession is, to confess to God alone their attitude. The confession is, to confession makes clear their attitude toward the Catholic "Seven Sacraments":

"Article 13: We acknowledge no other sacrament but Baptism and the Lord's Supper." [20] Supper." [20] The Waldensian rejection of the existence of purgatory is well-documental well-documental waldensian rejection of the existence of purgatory is well-documented. Morland has preserved for us a treatise from the 12th Century entitled "Of the Purgatory Dream," in which purgatory is scoffed at as a device for feeding the overfeeding the avarice of the priests, which was first promulgated by the popes five centuries after the time of Christ. The ancient author says:

There is not one place in all the Holy Scriptures, to show it, neither can there any evidence soul in such a be any evidence produced that ever there entered any one soul in such a Purgatory and produced that ever there entered any one soul in such a Purgatory, and came out again from thence. And therefore it is a thing not to be credited, nor believed. . . . It follows, there being no one express proof for it in the Law of Cod Alexander. the Law of God, that it is needless to believe the said Purgatory as an article of and that it is needless to believe the said Purgatory as an article of and that it is needless to believe the said Purgatory as an article of faith, and that there should be such a thing after this life." [21] The French

inquisitor Bernard Gui, writing in 1320, sweepingly describes the Waldenses as having rejected all of the traditions of the Roman Catholic Church:

"Gui emphasized that the Waldensians rejected ecclesiastical authority, especially by the constant of the cons especially by their conviction that they were not subject to the pope or his decrees of excommunication that they were not subject to the papers decrees of excommunication.... All Catholic feast-days, festivals and prayers were rejected as were rejected as man-made and not based upon the New Testament... the Waldensians denied Waldensians denied purgatory, for which they could find no basis in the New Testament. This load of Testament. This led them to reject the Catholic belief in the value of alms and prayers for the dead. prayers for the dead. For the Waldensians, if the dead were in hell they were beyond hope and is: beyond hope and, if in heaven, they had no need of prayer. Similar reasoning led them to reject as well them to reject as well prayers to images of the saints." [22] Armitage quotes a number of ancient authorized to image of the saints. number of ancient authorities who complained of the total rejection by the Waldenses of all Roman Catholic to the of all Roman Catholic traditions and observances:

"A Romish Inquisitor, in speaking of them, tells us: 'They . . . affirm that the traditions of the Characters' traditions of the Church are no better than the traditions of the human insisting, moreover, that greater stress is laid on the observance of human tradition than on the keeping of the law of God.' Seisselius, Archbishop of Turin, also states: 'The Turin, also states: 'They receive only what is written in the Old and New Testaments.' Last of all P. Testaments.' Last of all, Reinerius reports that 'whatever is preached that is not popel substantiated by the text of the Bible they esteem fables;' for which reason with the stantiated by the text of the Bible they esteem fables;' for which reason with the stantiated by the text of the Bible they esteem fables; Pius II complains of their holding that 'baptism ought to be administered without the addition of their holding that 'baptism ought to be armark of their holding that 'baptism ought to be administered by the text of the Bible they esteem fables;' for which reason is the property of the without the addition of holy oil,' a fact which explains the further remark of heap in the hold that have Reinerius: 'They hold that none of the ordinances of the Church which have been introduced since Church which of no been introduced since Christ's ascension ought to be observed, as being of not value." [23] It is admitted value," [23] It is admitted, of course, that over the centuries there were numerous Waldenses who sometimes and course, that over the centuries there were numerous Church. Waldenses who sometimes submitted to the various false ordinances of the Catholic Reformation the mass is ascension ought to be observed, when the control of the control o Church, including the mass, in order to avoid persecution. By the time of the Reformation this had become Reformation this had become a common practice which was freely confessed to by reference and leaders. Reiner to avoid persecution. By the time of the Waldensian leaders. Reiner to avoid persecution. By the time of the Waldensian leaders. Reiner to avoid persecution. By the time of the waldensian leaders. Reiner to avoid persecution and the waldensian leaders. Reiner to avoid persecution and the waldensian leaders. the Waldensian leaders. Reinerius and many others refer to this practice, but in mental resortion it, it is clear that the state of the references to it, it is clear that those who compromised in this manner did so with muttering mental reservations, not believing the mental reservations. mental reservations, not believing in the efficacy of the Roman sacraments, and often presented the dictions under their church as muttering maledictions under their breath against the Roman Church as who should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as we should all marks at the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as the massace Branch against the Roman Church as well as the massace Branch against the Roman Church again and the Roman Church presented themselves at the masses. Before we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well with the masses whether we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly, as many Well we should ask ourselves whether we condemn these hypocrites too harshly hypocrites hypocrites too harshly hypocrites we should ask ourselves whether we would be willing to suffer death by slow torture, as many Waldenses did, for refusing to attend the mass.

History records that after receiving a gentle rebuke and exhortation from the Lutheran pastor Oecolampadius in 1530, urging the Waldenses against compromise, they took courage and ceased to attend the Catholic mass. Even when they did attend the mass, they did so only under duress, while continuing to firmly reject the dogma

[11] Orchard, op. cit., p. 261 [2] Morland, op. cit., p. 38. [3] Ibid., pp. 173-174. [4] Ray, op. cit., pp. 324-225. Gallatin cit., pp. 334-335. [5] William Jones, The History of the Christian Church, Gallatin, Tennesson Ch. 3. William Jones, The History of the Christian Church, Gallatin, 22, 23 Tennessee, Church History Research and Archives, 1983, vol. 2, p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 22-23. [7] Morland, op. cit., pp. 33-34. [8] Robinson, op. cit., p. 517. [9] J.A. Wylie, History of the Waldenses, Gallatin, Tennessee, Church History Research and Archives, 1985, p. 12.

[10] Roland Bainton, Christendom, New York, Harper and Row, 1964, vol. 1, p. 214. [11] Tierney, on cit. 200 (1965) [14] Tierney, Op. cit., p. 222. [12] Newman, op. cit., p. 580. [13] Morland, op. cit., p. 65. [14] Marie Gentert King D. Standard, op. cit., p. 65. [14] Marie Gentert King, Foxe's Book of Martyrs, Old Tappan, New Jersey. Fleming H. Revell. 1968, p. 114. [15] Morland, op. cit., p. 354. [16] King, op. cit., p. 125. [17] Jones, op. cit., pp. 452-453 pp. 452-453. [18] Ibid., p. 37. [19] Morland, op. cit., p. 40. [20] Ibid., p. 34. [21] Ibid., pp. 164, 166. [22] Ropold Fig. 37. [19] Morland, op. cit., p. 40. [20] Ibid., p. 34. [21] Ibid., pp. 36. [22] Ropold Fig. 37. [20] Morland, op. cit., p. 40. [20] Ibid., p. 34. [21] Ibid., pp. 36. [22] Ropold Fig. 37. [20] Morland, op. cit., p. 40. [20] Ibid., p. 34. [21] Ibid., pp. 36. [22] Ropold Fig. 38. [22] Ropold Fig. 38. [23] Ibid., pp. 38. [24] Ibid., pp. 38. [25] Ibid., pp. 38. [26] Ibid., pp. 38. [26 164, 166. [22] Ronald Finucane, "The Waldensians," article in Eerdman's Handbook to the History of Christiania, The Waldensians, "Article in Eardman's Handbook to the Parameter of Christiania, The Waldensians," article in Eardman's Handbook to the Royal Statement (1977), p. 316, [23] History of Christianity, Tim Dowley, editor, Grand Rapids, Eerdman's Handbook to the Armitage, on cit 200

# WALDENSIAN VIEWS ON SOTERIOLOGY

In earlier chapters we have established that the Waldenses baptized by immersion, and that they did not be persuaded of these facts, and that they did not practice infant baptism. We may be persuaded of these facts, we have established that the Waldenses were Baptists. In and yet this would not practice infant baptism. We may be persuaded of these facts, our century the Church and control of the stablish that the Waldenses were Baptists. In our century the Churches of Christ and Christian Churches baptize by immersion and baptize infants. The Churches of Christ and Christian Churches baptize by immersion and christian Churches by the christian Chu do not baptize infants, and yet they cannot be accepted as Baptists, because they insist on a form of baptismal regeneration, teaching that a person is not saved until he or she has been baptismal regeneration, teaching that a person is not saved until be assured that they rolled. Before we can claim the Waldenses as Baptists, we must be assured that they rejected baptismal regeneration, and that they did not allow for salvation be assured to heavily by the dominant any form of salvation by works, which was emphasized so heavily by the dominant

Fortunately, an ancient confession of the Waldenses, dated about 1120 A.D. by Morland, contains an unconfession of the doctrine of baptismal regeneration, Morland, contains an unequivocal rejection of the doctrine of baptismal regeneration,

which clearly distinguishes them from both Catholics and Campbellites on that point

"We do believe that the Sacraments are signs of the holy thing, or visible form! of the invisible gare of the invisible grace, accounting it good that the faithful sometimes use the said signs or visible forms. signs or visible grace, accounting it good that the faithful sometimes use in the abovesaid faithful may be done. However, we believe and hold, that the abovesaid faithful may be done. However, we believe and hold, in case they have no place now they have no place nor any means to use them." [1]

Ancient Waldensian literature abounds with evidence that the Waldenses had a sound doctrine of soteriology or salvation, insisting on justification by faith alone, hundreds of years before Luther Factorial Physics and the salvation of the land of years before Luther. For instance, the author of the 12th-Century treatise "On the Purgatory Dream" makes Purgatory Dream" makes these statements:

But St. Peter shows, Acts 15, that the hearts are purged by faith, and that faith where is sufficient to cleanse evil, without any other outward means... Where the apostle shows, that Christ and the arts are purged by faith, and that the apostle shows, that Christ are in the art of cleanse it by apostle shows, that Christ so loved His Church, that He would not cleanse it by any other washing, but by H: any other washing, but by His own blood." [2]

The 1655 Confession of the Reformed Churches of Piedmont contains this ringing affirmation of justification by 6.15 affirmation of justification by faith:

"16. That the Lord having fully and absolutely reconciled us unto God, through the Blood of His Cross, by visite and absolutely reconciled us unto God, through thereby above. the Blood of His Cross, by virtue of His merit only, and not of our works, we are besides His D. and justified to work and not of our works. thereby absolved and justified in His sight, neither is there any other Purgatory

Blood, which cleans besides His Blood, which cleanses us from all sin....

"18. That that faith is the gracious and efficacious work of the Holy Spirit which God, and so the Holy Spirit which Holy Spirit which God, and so the Holy Spirit which God, and God, and Spirit which God, and enlightens our souls, and persuades them to lean and rest upon the mercy of whereby to apply upto a God, and so thereby to apply unto themselves the merits of Jesus Christ."

While moderned.

While modern-day evangelicals attempt to sidle away from the doctrine of salvation the 12th Century and clear that the Wolds by Christ's blood, it is clear that the Waldenses affirmed that scriptural doctrine, in Numerous and in the 17th.

Numerous historians have paid tribute to the testimony of the Waldenses for the doctrine of justification by faith alone. D'Aubigne says:

From their mountain heights the Waldenses protested during a long series of ages against the superstitions of Rome. 'They contend for the lively hope which they have in God through Christ - for the regeneration and interior revival by faith, hope and charity - for the merits of Jesus Christ, and the all-sufficiency of His grace and righteousness.'" [4]

Tierney and Painter say:

"They declared that Christ had taught the way of life that led to salvation and that His teachings could be read in the New Testament.... The Church and its sacraments were completely useless." [5]

Edman says:

'As to their doctrinal views there is little dispute: they held to . . . justification by faith, and a life of good works together with stout denial of the value of priestly absolution or intercession of saints and angels, or the existence of purgatory, or the authority of the Roman Church." [6]

Broadbent says:

In Strassburg in 1212 the Dominicans had already arrested 500 persons who belonged to churches of the Waldenses.... Their leader and elder, named John, declared as he was about to die, 'We are all sinners, but it is not our faith that makes us so, nor are we guilty of the blasphemy of which we are accused without reason; but we expect the forgiveness of our sins, and that without the help of men, and not through the merit of our own works. . . . . . . They did not admit the claim of the great professing Church to open or close the way of salvation, nor did they believe that salvation was through any sacraments or by anything but faith in Christ, which showed itself in the activities of love." [7]

Monastier relates this account of how the Waldenses, under the name of Ultramontanes or dwellers beyond the mountains, were condemned by a Catholic monk:

Gilles relates that a barbe of his name having gone into a church at Florence,

heard a monk who was preaching exclaim 'O Florence! What does Florence mean? The flower of the flowe mean? The flower of Italy. And so thou was until these Ultramontanes persuaded thee that man is justified by faith and not by works; and herein they

We may rest assured that the Waldenses were not falsely accused by their enemies of teaching justification by 6 to 100 per description and 100 per des of teaching justification by faith, and that they held to the modern Baptist position on that subject.

History of the Reformation of th History of the Reformation of the Sixteenth Century, Grand Rapids, Baker, 1987, P. York.

Alfred K. 200 1475, New York. Brian Tierney and Sidney Painter, Western Europe in the Middle Ages 300-1475, New York. Illinois No. 291 161 V. State of the Middle Ages 300-1475, New York. Illinois, Van Kampen Press, 1949, p. 301. [7] Broadbent, op. cit., pp. 96-97. [8] Monastier.

## CHAPTED FIVE

# WALDENSIAN VIEWS ON THE TRINITY

The question of whether the Waldenses held orthodox views on the subject of the dissenting and the deity of Christ in the study of dissenting are all the study of the dissenting are all the study of the study of dissenting are all the study of the stud Trinity and the deity of Christ is worthy of examination. After all, the study of Adoptionism in the Middle Amount of the subject of the study of th dissenting sects in the Middle Ages yields many disturbing hints of belief attributes at Ir. Adoptionism, the notion that Christ was a mere man who was endowed with Divine churches with attributes at His baptism by God the Father. Through the centuries there have been views; such at Baptist name and the Father. churches with a Baptism by God the Father. Through the centuries there have views; such churches are prominent in the late 16th. views; such churches are prominent in the church history of Poland and Transylvania in the late 16th Century, and of England in the 18th Century.

One early and prominent figure in the ecclesiastical history of northern Italy, who is as Bishop of Turi. supposed to have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served evangelical and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great in the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the Waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great inspiration to the waldenses, was Claudius, who served the college and have given great gre as Bishop of Turin until about 839. Many church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he wanted that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied that he was church historians have claimed him as the orthodoxy of the denied him as the denied him as the orthodoxy of the denied him as the denied evangelical and have denied that he was an Arian, but there is good reason to doubt early reformer Office.

the orthodoxy of this early reformer. Of Claudius, Orchard says: "Claude of Turin ... was a Spaniard by birth, and a disciple of Felix, of Urgel, published a work of the Arian of Jesus by the Father. the Arian, who, in 794, published a work on the adoption of Jesus by the Father,

· · Claude lived and died a Catholic, and most probably an Arian. . . . His association with the Bishop of Urgel leaves his orthodoxy doubtful." [1]

It may not be possible to determine with certainty all of the religious views of this shadowy figure, but it is beyond doubt that the early Waldenses must have been exposed to Arian influences, which were numerous in the early Middle Ages. We are justified in stopping to ask whether the Waldenses preserved their orthodoxy, untainted by the Arian and Adoptionist heresies, through the misty gloom of the Dark Ages. The 18th-Century English historian Robinson claimed that most of the ancient Waldenses were Arians:

In regard to the great leading point, the most were Unitarians, but many held the same opinions as the church of Rome did, and consequently the doctrine of the Trinity." [2]

Of the later Bohemian Waldenses he says:

They were all indiscriminately called Waldenses and Picards, and they all rebaptized: but they were of very different sentiments; some held the divinity of Christ, others denied it." [3]

If Robinson, a Baptist of militant Unitarian views, was willing to admit that some of the Wolds. the Waldenses were Trinitarians, we can be sure that some of them were. Morland, who denies that Claudius of Turin was an Arian, also defends the Waldenses against that chare that charge:

'And this is all likewise that Rainerius Saccon has to object against the Waldenses, who succeeded this Archbishop and his disciples, for saith he, 'All other saith other sects render themselves horrible, by reason of their blasphemies against God Himself, but on the contrary, this hath great appearance of piety, forasmuch as they live justly in the sight of men; they believe well, as concerning God, in all there is only one thing God, in all things, and hold all the articles of the Creed; there is only one thing against them, that is, they hate and blaspheme the Church of Rome." [4]

Morland goes on to explain why the Waldenses were calumniated as Arians:

"And because they denied the Host which the priest holds up at mass, to be God,

they were called Arians, as those who denied the divinity of the eternal Son of God." [5]

The ancient confessions published by Morland contain no hint of Arianism of Adoptionism, but represent the Aconfession Adoptionism, but repeatedly affirm orthodox belief in the Trinity. A confession dating from 1120 contains these articles:

"Article 1: We believe and firmly hold all that which is contained in the twelve articles of the symbol and firmly hold all that which is contained in the twelve." articles of the symbol, which is called the Apostles Creed, accounting for heresy whatsoever is disagrant whatsoever is disagreeing, and not consonant to the said 12 Articles.

"Article 2: We do believe that there is one God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit.

Another confession makes this affirmation:

"Article 2: We believe that Jesus Christ is the Son and image of the Father. That Father all the fulness of the Father. in Him dwells all the fulness of the Godhead, by whom we have knowledge of the Father. . . . Father....

Article 3: We believe that the Holy Spirit is our Comforter, proceeding from the Father and the Son." [7] Father and the Son." [7]

An ancient catechism contains this dialogue concerning the Trinity:

"Minister: Dost thou believe in the Holy Spirit?

Answer: Yes, I do believe in the Holy Spirit?
the Son, and is one Person of the Toly Spirit proceeds from the Father and
to the Father one Person of the Toly Spirit proceeds from the Divinity, is equal the Son, and is one Person of the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father to the Father and the Son.

"Minister: Thou believest God the Father, God the Son, and God the Holy Spirit, thou hast therefore three Gods. "Answer: I have not three.

"Minister: Yea, but thou hast named three.

"Answer: That is by reason of the difference of the Persons, not by reason of the essence of the Divinity. For, although there are three Persons, yet notwithstanding there is but one essence. [8]

Wherefore every one that will do good works, the honor of God the Father ought to be his first moving principle. He ought likewise to implore the aid of His glorious Son, the dear Son of the Virgin Mary, and the Holy Ghost which lightens us in the true way. These three (the Holy Trinity) as being but one God, ought to be called upon." [9]

This would be a good time to examine the question of when the Nobla Leycon was written. Many historians have dismissed the claim that the Nobla Leycon was written in the early 12th Century, saying that it must have been composed at a later date. However, the Nobla Leycon dates itself from the 12th Century, opening with these words.

"O brethren, give ear to a noble lesson, we ought always to watch and pray, for we see the world nigh to a conclusion. We ought to strive to do good works, seeing that seeing that the end of this world approacheth. There are already a thousand and one hundred years fully accomplished, since it was written thus, 'For we are in the last time.'" [10]

Monastier argues persuasively in favor of the 12th-Century date for the Nobla Leycon, saying:

"This circumstance, that five or six Vaudois manuscripts only have dates, is particularly favorable to their authenticity. If they had been affixed after the appearance appearance of the writings, and without foundation, we do not see why the author of such a fraud should not have made use of it in reference to a greater number, or even to all.

We moreover appeal to the testimony of Raynouard, in favor of the correctness of these days of the correctness of the correc of these dates. It is known that this modern writer has applied himself specially to the second to the study of the Romance language, of which the Vaudois is a particular dialect dialect,...

He goes on to say, 'The poem of the Nobla Leyczon' bears the date of the year 1100. The sect of the year has been 1100. The sect of the Vaudois is, then, much more ancient than has been generally believed? generally believed.' And a little after; 'The date of the year 1100, which we find given in this poem, merits all confidence. Persons who read it with attention will perceive that the manuscript. perceive that the manuscript has not been interpolated, etc. Lastly, the very style of the work, the form of the versification, the agreement of the two manuscripts, (that of Cambridge on the versification, the agreement of the two manuscripts). (that of Cambridge and that of Geneva,) and the kind of various readings, " present, all unite in favor of the authenticity of this poetical composition.

"Thus we see that this distinguished writer, without prejudice or any interested motive, and having only interested writer, without prejudice or any interested motive, and having only interested writer, without prejudice or any interested writer. motive, and having only in view the Romance language, after a long and those the archive. profound study of the ancient religious documents of the Vaudois, pronounces them authentic, and confi them authentic, and confirms the correctness of their dates. Such a decision appears to us to be of very great weight." [11]

Some of the other ancient manuscripts of the Waldenses, such as the catechism which were pust quoted from contains and the Bible, which were pushed by the Bible by the have just quoted from, contain references to chapter divisions in the Bible, werse divisions introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the waldenses of the Waldenses, such as the catechard which were not introduced until the state of the waldenses of the waldense were not introduced until the mid-13th Century, and occasionally even does not introduced until the mid-13th Century, and occasionally even does not introduced until the mid-13th Century. divisions, not introduced until the mid-13th Century, and occasionally even does not in any way prove that the mid-16th Century. However, this circumstance the 12th Century way prove that the mid-16th Century. does not in any way prove that those manuscripts were not originally composed in later converged to the 12th Century. Monastier converged to the 12th Century. Monastier converged to the converg the 12th Century. Monastier explains the references to chapters as interpolations by later copyists:

"We may readily understand, that, for the instruction of their readers, the themselves who without doubt themselves available to the instruction of their readers, available themselves available to the instruction of their readers, available themselves a sector of the instruction of their readers, available themselves a sector of the instruction of their readers, and of the instruction of their readers, the contract of the instruction of their readers, the contract of the instruction of their readers, and of the instruction of their readers, the contract of the instruction of their readers, and of the instruction of their readers, and of the instruction of the instructio copyists who without doubt, were the barbes, or Vaudois pastors, availing the chapters. the chapters and verses, without this useful division, added the notation or deteriors. the chapters and verses, without thereby subjecting the text to any falsification, account the chapters and verses, without thereby subjecting the text to any falsification, because all the weak a strong term of this explanation, at this explanation. or deterioration. We have a stronger warrant for admitting this explanation of chapters and verses, without thereby subjecting the text to any falsificant because all the quotations are not explanation of chapters and verses, which is the property of the control of the contro because all the quotations are not accompanied with the notation of chapters been made by the would probable. and verses, which would probably have been the case, had this useful addition been made by the author himself." [12]

Thus we have every reason for confidence in believing that many of the source thus are an accurate faith and process. materials for Waldensian for confidence in believing that many of the southus are an accurate reflection of the document document document that early epoch. thus are an accurate reflection of the doctrines of the Waldenses were Trip:

are allowed to speak of the Waldenses at that early epoch.

The show that the show the show that the show that the show the show the show that the show that the show t If those documents are allowed to speak for themselves, they show that the Waldenses were Trinitarians, and reveal no trace of Arian or Adoptionist sentiment.

Even if we admit the possibility that some of the Waldenses at some periods were Arian in belief, this would in no way detract from the conclusion that a true church Was preserved through the Middle Ages by Waldenses who worshipped the Triune God and recognized the full deity of Father, Son and Holy Spirit.

| 11 Orchard, op\_cit\_, pp\_262-263\_[2] Robinson, op. cit., p. 316. [3] Ibid., p. 517. [4] Morland, op. cit., pp. 262-263 [2] Robinson, op. cit., p. 310. [3] Ibid., p. 77-78. [9] Ibid., p. 11. [5] Ibid., p. 13. [6] Ibid., p. 30. [7] Ibid., p. 37. [8] Ibid., p. 77-78. [9] Ibid., p. 100. [10] Ibid., p. 99. [11] Monastier, op. cit., pp. 79-81.

[12] .Ibid., p. 83.

#### CHAPTED SIX

# WALDENSIAN VIEWS ON CALVINISM

The question of whether or not the Waldenses were Calvinistic in their beliefs, in the sense of sense of adherence to the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity, uncondicinate of the so-called Five Points of Calvinism (total depravity). unconditional election, limited atonement, irresistible grace, perseverance of the saints): saints) is one that is of great interest to Baptists today, many of whom identify themselves themselves strongly as either Calvinistic Baptists, or as Free Will or General Baptists Baptists, while there are also other Baptists who prefer not to take sides in this controvers. controversy. This division in opinion among Baptists goes back at least as far as the 17th Caption. 17th Century, when English Baptists were divided into two groups, the General Baptists and the English Baptists were divided into two groups, the General Baptists and the Particular Baptists. It would be of great historical interest if we could find could find traces of Calvinistic theology prior to the time of Calvin and the Reformation, indicating that the Waldenses held to such convictions.

There can be no question that the Waldenses were Calvinists from the time of their earliest costs. earliest contacts with the great theologians of the Protestant Reformation. The 1532 confession and activities that the second s confession of faith at Angrogne, which resulted from those contacts, contains these clear states. clear statements of belief:

<sup>42</sup>. All those that have been, and shall be saved, have been elected of God, before the found are appointed to the foundation of the world. 3. It is impossible that those that are appointed to salvation salvation, should not be saved. 4. Whosoever upholds free-will denieth absolutely predestination, and the grace of God."

The 1655 confession contains these articles:

\*25. That that Church is the company of the faithful, who having been elected before the foundation as: before the foundation of the world, and called with an holy calling, come to unite themselves to follow the themselves to follow the World, and called with an holy calling, collection and living in His face. and living in His fear.

"26. That that Church cannot err, nor be annihilated, but must endure forever, and that all the elect or an accedin such sort, and that all the elect are upheld and preserved by the power of God in such sort. that they all persevere in the faith unto the end, and remain united in the holy Church, as so many the second Church, as so many living members thereof." [2]

Waldenses held to in 1532 commerced is this: Was the Calvinist faith that the Waldenses held to in 1532 something new, imparted to them by the Reformers, of the interest of their control was it a reflection of their convictions on that subject throughout the Middle Ages!

It is difficult to answer this It is difficult to answer this question with any degree of certainty. The early confessions of the Waldanser with any degree of certainty. confessions of the Waldenses are lacking in such clear expositions of Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Waldenses are lacking in such clear expositions of Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla Leycon day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the B. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the R. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the R. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the R. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the R. C. alvinish to the Nobla day are found in the Nobla day ar sentiment as are found in the Reformation-era confessions. However, the Nobla Leycon does contain some reformation-era confessions. Leycon does contain some references to the elect, closing with these words:

"May it please the Lord which formed the world, that we may be of the number of His elect, to dwell in His court of His elect, to dwell in His court forever." [3]

Also, the catechism presented by Morland and dated by him as being "written in a contains the contains the catechism presented by Morland and dated by him as being "written" and contains the catechism presented by Morland and dated by him as being "written" their own language several hundreds of years before either Calvin or Luther contains this statement which contains the statement which can be statement which contains the statement which can be statement which which can be statement which will be statement which [4] contains this statement which appears to teach Calvinism before Calvin:

"By the Holy Catholic Church is meant all the elect of God, from the beginning gathered to the end, by the merit of Christ, of the world to the end, by the grace of God through the merit of Christ, number and no. by the Holy Crist, and gathered together by the Holy Spirit, and fore-ordained to eternal life; the in this Church number and names of whom are known to Him alone who has elected them; and in this Church remains none who is reprobate." [5]

The historian Jones cites these authorities who believed that the Waldenses were "Lindanus, a Catholic bishop of the see of Ghent, who wrote in defence of the

tenets of the Church of Rome, about 1550, terms Calvin 'the inheritor of the

"Mezeray, the celebrated historiographer of France, in his Abridgement of Chronological Chronologica Chronology, speaking of the Waldenses, says, 'They held nearly the same <sup>opinions</sup> as those who are now called Calvinists.'

"Gualtier, a Jesuitical monk, in his chronological tables, drew up a catalogue consisting a consisting of seven and twenty particulars, in which he shows that the principles of the Wolf of the Waldenses, and those of the Calvinists coincided with each other....

"Aeneas Sylvius (afterwards Pope Pius II) declares the doctrine taught by Calvin to be the same as that of the Waldenses... "[6]

Orchard also cites the historian Mezeray:

"'However various their names, they may be,' says Mezeray,'"reduced to two, that is, the Albigenses (a term now about introduced), and the Vaudois, and these two bold these two held almost the same opinions as those we call Calvinists." [7]

These references are too vague to give us any definite information as to whether the pre-Reformations of the sovereignty pre-Reformation Waldenses held to the same strict interpretations of the sovereignty of God as are for of God as are found in the Reformation-era confessions of the Waldenses. It is quite possible to any possible to appear to agree with many of the religious beliefs of the Calvinists, especially 6 especially from the standpoint of a Roman Catholic writer, without agreeing with the Calviniste Calvinists on all points, including the five points of Calvinism.

Jarrell goes into a little more detail on just what the Waldenses believed, saying:

From the foregoing they agreed with Baptists on depravity. The new creation inevitable. inevitably implies "total depravity;" otherwise no need of the mighty power of the Spirit and the new creation in saving a soul....

The Waldenses were Baptists as to the doctrine of election. Prof. A.A. Hodge, D.D., of D. D.D. waldenses were Baptists as to the doctrine of election. Floring the wall of the Walter the Wal for the Waldenses, the followers of Wickliffe, in the fourteenth century, were all of the general school of St. Augustine." [8]

But in Jarrell's statements on depravity, he appears to be speaking of the 16th-Century Waldenson A. C. Lyinist, it is 100 16th-Century Waldenses. As for the statement by Hodge, an ardent Calvinist, it is too vague. It is possible to be speaking agreeing vague. It is possible to be "of the statement by Hodge, an ardent Calvinish, with Augustine on all actions agreeing with Augustine on all actions." with Augustine on all points, including predestination.

In general, the historians who claim that the pre-Reformation Waldenses were Calvin are Colored to assume more Calvinists before Calvin are Calvinists themselves, who may tend to assume more with regard to Waldows with regard to Waldensian convictions on that subject than they can document specifically, or they are Roman Convictions on that subject than they can the different shows the convictions of the subject than they are Roman to the different shows the convictions of the subject than they are Roman to the subject than they can the different shows the convergence of the subject than they are Roman to the subject than they are Roman to the subject than they can the different shows the subject than they are Roman to the subject than the subject than they are Roman to the subject than specifically, or they are Roman Catholics who perhaps failed to discern the different shades of belief among the Protections of the perhaps failed to discern the discornant who shades of belief among the Protestant and dissenting sects. For every historian who claims the pre-Reformation Williams and dissenting sects. For every historian be found claims the pre-Reformation Waldenses as Calvinists, another historian can be found to say that they were not. Robinson says:

"No writer hath chastised them (the Calvinists) more severely or more justly following apostolical suggestion of the Bossuet. claiming apostolical succession throughout the Vaudois than bishop till the Calvinists. Said he: . . . they never heard of predestination and justification till Calvinists preached to them." [9]

Monastier, himself a Waldensian pastor, has this to say about Waldensian doctring in 1530, just before the confession and the confession are the c in 1530, just before the confession of Angrogne was issued:

It would appear that in what regards the acceptance of salvation and the to the human that the control of the Christian that it is the human to the human that the control of the control internal life of the Christian, the barbes at that time allowed an immense share explanation with certain explanation... They did not not to the human will.... to the human will.... They did not admit predestination, excepting with certain explanations, which reduced it to explanations, which reduced it to be nothing more than an anticipated view of human intentions and actions by human intentions and actions by the omniscience of God."[10]

The Angrogne confession was a direct result of the contact that the Waldenses had beleaguered disc. In the year 1530 The contact that the Waldenses had beleaguered disc. beleaguered, dispirited Waldenses of Savoy, fearing themselves to be the only true hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the hands of their Cather world and facing the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a story in the story is in many ways a thrilling one, the story is in many ways a story in the story is in many ways a story in the story is in many ways at the story is in the world and story in the story is in many ways at the story is in the story in the story in the story in the story is in the story in t Christians left in the world and facing the possibility of total extermination at the movement sween: hands of their Catholic enemies, suddenly began to hear accounts of a great religious rejecting Catholic enemies, suddenly began to hear accounts of a great religious to the lands to the post of the lands to the l movement sweeping the lands to the north of them, in which men and women were waldenses underetailed and turning began to hear accounts of a great religion to the north of them, in which men and women were as underetailed and turning began to hear accounts of a great religion. rejecting Catholic falsehoods and turning back to the truths of the Bible as the to meet with the I ut. Waldenses understoods and turning back to the truths of the Bible as to be be be been contained and turning back to the truths of the Bible as to learn of the existent Reformer Occale and Pleased and Pleased and Pleased to meet with the Lutheran Reformer Oecolampadius, who was astonished and pleased the faithful Waldensey was astonished and pleased the evangelical to learn of the Eutheran Reformer Oecolampadius, who was astonished and please who had preserved the evangelical

faith from the time of the apostles, and he gave them much advice and godly counsed as a result. As a result of this contact, a great synod of Waldensian barbes, or pastors, was he at Angrogne in 1532, at which the great reformer Guillaume Farel was present, well as George Morel, a barbe who had met with Oecolampadius.

Fox says, that Morel 'declared to his brethren all the points of his commission and opened unto them, how many and great errors they were in, into whic their old ministers, whom they called barbes, that is to say, uncles, had brough them, leading them from the right way of the true religion.' Thus it is eviden that Morel had learned something new of the Reformers, differing from the doctrine of the Waldensian fathers, and which he proposed to introduce into the creed of the brethren in his region.

"And so Dr. Murdock, the translator of Mosheim, in a note upon that author, represents the state of the state represents the case. He says: 'In their council in Angrogne, A.D. 1532, they adopted a short confession of faith, professedly embracing the doctrine they had firmly believed for four hundred years, yet manifestly a departure in some particulars for four hundred years, yet manifestly a for Ecolampadius, and particulars from the principles stated by their deputies to Ecolampadius, and conformed to conformed to the new views he had communicated to them, especially in relation to free-will to free-will, grace, predestination, and several points of practical religion." [11]

Broadbent concurs that the Waldenses, under the influence of their newfound Reformer bank that the Waldenses, under the influence of their newfound Reformer brethren, made a major shift toward Calvinist doctrine at the synod of Angrogne in 1532:

"Many matters of practice were considered, but the question which excited the greatest at greatest discussion was one of doctrine. Farel taught that 'God has elected before the c before the foundation of the world all those who have been or will be saved. It is impossible. is impossible for those who have been ordained to salvation not to be saved. Whosoever Whosoever upholds free-will, absolutely denies the grace of God.' Jean of Molines and upholds free-will, absolutely denies the grace of man and also Molines and Daniel of Valence laid stress both on the capacity of man and also his response. his responsibility to receive the grace of God. In this they were supported by the nobles prenobles present and by many others, who urged that the changes advocated were not necessary hot necessary and also that they would imply a condemnation of those who had so long sary and also that they would imply a condemnation of those who had long and faithfully guided these churches. sympathetic earnestness strongly commended his arguments to his hearers and the majorit the majority accepted his teaching. A confession of faith was drawn up in

accordance with this, which was signed by most present, though declined by some." [12]

How may these conflicting statements of the various historians be reconciled? Some say that the Waldenses first became Calvinists in 1532 as a result of their contacts with the Reformers, and others affirm that they were Calvinists prior to that time. We must take into account the possible influence on the historians of their own biases. Those who are Calvinists will tend to conclude easily that the pre-Reformation Waldenses were Calvinists. As for Robinson, who says they were not Calvinists, his anti-Calvinist bias is evident; he held a grudge against John Calvin for plotting the execution of Michael Servetus, who, like Robinson, was a Socinian. Another possibility is that different Waldenses in different times and places held divergent views on the questions of predestination and free-will. The Waldenses of Savoy just prior to 1532 clearly did not hold to Calvinist positions on these issues, but that does not mean that all Waldenses in all times believed just as those of Savoy. The existing medieval Waldensian literature contains some strong references to a belief in the doctrine of election, and this should not surprise us; they could have easily derived such sentiments from Augustine, or from the Bible itself.

[1] Morland, op. cit., p. 40. [2] Ibid., p. 67. [3] Ibid., p. 120. [4] Ibid., p. 72. [5] Ibid., p. 79. [6] Jones, op. cit., pp. 90-91. [7] Orchard, op. cit., p. 192. [8] Jarrel, op. cit., pp. 165-166. [9] Robinson, op. cit., p. 476. [10] Monastier, op. cit., p. 137. [11] Waller, op. cit., pp. 14-15. [12] Broadbent, op. cit., pp. 219-220.

## CHAPTED SEVEN

# WALDENSIAN VIEWS ON SEPARATION

It is easy to declare that the medieval Waldenses were Baptists, but just how much does this tell us? There are many Baptist churches and denominations that independent Baptists will have no affiliation or ecclesiastical fellowship with, Council of Churches and National Council of Churches in the Morld the American Baptist Churches USA, National Baptist Convention of America, are all listed as member denominations of the Progressive National Baptist Convention USA, and the Progressive National Baptist Convention used, an affiliation which detracts sadly from the Baptist testimony of these

churches. More to the point, the same list of WCC member churches includes the 22,000-member Chiesa Evangelica Valdese, [1] the 20th-Century remnant of the Waldenses in Italy.

The Waldenses of today are clearly ecumenical in spirit. It is admitted that they are no longer Baptists. Their doctrinal orthodoxy began to wane in the late 18th Century, which Monastier complained of:

The end of the eighteenth century was marked in the valleys by a decline in the tone of rolls. tone of religion, which was weakened everywhere. There, as in other countries, it might be it might be remarked that the Christian spirit, so vigorous and so fruitful in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, fed itself less abundantly from the pure source of the Source of the gospel. A proud rationalism, mere human opinion, began to claim a place in these a place in theology, and, attempting to make religion more accessible and less repulsive in the candidates for the repulsive in its doctrines, tarnished and disfigured it. The candidates for the sacred ministers. sacred ministry acquired for the most part in the foreign academies, where they prepared for prepared for entering upon it, nothing but a cold orthodoxy, or the germs of Socinian laws Socinian laxity. The first years of the nineteenth century brought no amelioration. Virtue was often preached and exalted more than the work of Christ, or the control was Christ, or than faith, or than the love of the Lord. The title of philosopher was placed at low doisy representative of placed at least on a level with that of Christian. The Vaudois representative of this tendence. this tendency was M. Mondon, the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in M. Mondon, the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, and the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, and the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, well versed in the late pastor of San Giovanni, a man of talent, a Well versed in classical literature and profane history, of a singular, capricious character. character, but courageous and full of frankness. His belief was attacked, and on good grounds, for it was far from being evangelical...." [2]

Thus we see that the Waldensian movement in recent centuries has suffered from the weakening influences of rationalism and ecumenism, which both are elements which modern independent Baptists would completely fail to identify with. Yet there is abundant evidence that the medieval Waldenses were of a far different spirit, not only thoroughly evangelical, but also partaking of the same bold separationist Position as is taken by independent Baptists today. From the beginning the Waldenses were separationists, withdrawing from the Roman Catholic Church, both physically and ecclesiastically, taking refuge in the remote valleys of the Alps. Many historians believe that the Waldenses are the direct spiritual descendants of the Novatians, who separated from the Church of Rome in 251 A.D. and maintained that separated stance in the face of persecution, fleeing to the Alps rather than

compromise their convictions. Says Ray:

The Novatians were persecuted by Constantine, the first to unite church and state; and numbers of these and numbers of these persecuted by Constantine, the first to unite church and different times from all different times from the different times fr different times from about the year 325 to 425; and these wandering Novatians were in after years called W. L. growth in after years called Waldenses. It then appears that the Waldenses sprang from the Novatians who fled from Italy in the fourth century. [3]

Orchard agrees, and states that the withdrawal of the Novatians to the mountains was hastened by the passage of an imperial edict against rebaptizing in 413:

The edict was probably obtained by the influence of Augustine, who could endure no rival, nor would be to rites or the no rival, nor would he bear with any who questioned the virtue of his rites, or the sanctity of his brethran sanctity of his brethren, or the soundness of the Catholic creed; and these points being disputed by the November 1990. being disputed by the Novationists and Donatists, two powerful and extensive bodies of dissidents in Italy and A.C. of dissidents in Italy and Africa, they were consequently made to feel the weight of his influence. These combinations are consequently made to feel the weight of his influence. his influence. These combined modes of oppression led the faithful to abandon the cities, and seek retreats in the cities, and seek retreats in the country, which they did, particularly in the valleys of Piedmont, the inhabitants of which began to be called Waldenses. [4]

Morland describes the separationism of the early Waldenses in these terms:

"But when the Church of Rome began to corrupt itself, and would by no means be persuaded to retain the and divine be persuaded to retain the purity of that apostolical doctrine and divine worship, then those of the vollage of worship, then those of the valleys began to separate themselves from them, and to come out from amongst the separate themselves from them, and to 'come out from amongst them, that so they might not be partakers of their sins, nor receive of their places. sins, nor receive of their plagues." [5]

The ancient documents preserved by Morland show that the medieval Waldenses November 1 to 1 the uncompression of the content o retained in full the uncompromising separationist spirit which characterized the Novatian movement from the book separationist spirit which characterized the State Waldenses Novatian movement from the beginning. The ancient catechism of the Waldenses Theory 16:6; Psalm 26:6. quotes Matthew 16:6; Psalm 26:5; Numbers 16:26; 2 Corinthians 6:14-18; 2 then included a Revelation 19.4. Thessalonians 3:6; and Revelation 18:4 in defense of the position of separation, and

"Minister: By what marks are those people known who are not in truth with the Church? Answer: By public sine and the country of the country o Church? Answer: By public sins, and an erroneous faith. For, we ought to fly

The author of the 12th-Century treatise on Antichrist defends at great length the doctrine of early 10:35-37: Matthew 7:15; doctrine of ecclesiastical separation, quoting from Matthew 10:35-37; Matthew 7:15;

Matthew 16:6. A Corinthians 6:14-18; Matthew 16:6; Matthew 24:4-5, 23; Revelation 18:4; 2 Corinthians 6:14-18; Ephesians 5:7 Ephesians 5:7-8; 2 Thessalonians 3:6-7; Ephesians 5:11; and 2 Timothy 3:1-5, and then makes this appeal:

"By what has been said hitherto it appears clearly, what is the wickedness of Antichrist and the wickedness of the company of Antichrist, and his perverseness. Also our Lord commands our separating from him, and ioin: him, and joining ourselves with the holy city of Jerusalem: therefore knowing such things the himselves with the holy city of Jerusalem. such things, the Lord having revealed them unto us by His servants, and believing this such things and being admonished believing this revelation according to the holy Scriptures, and being admonished by the command. by the commandments of the Lord, we do both inwardly and outwardly depart from Anticheist from Antichrist.... Now then the causes of our separation are these ensuing. Be it known unto every one in general and in particular, that the cause of our separation is the separation is this, namely, for the real truth's sake of the faith, and by reason of our inward by the divine essence our inward knowledge of the only true God, and the unity of the divine essence in three Persons... [7]

In previous chapters we have seen how vigorously the Waldenses rejected the traditions and traditions and sacraments of the Catholics, especially their masses. It is clear that they did not a christian they did not accept the claim of the Roman Catholic Church to be a Christian communion. Nevins says:

As to their doctrine and practice, they held the Catholic community not to be a church of Co. a church of Christ. They therefore rebaptized such as had been baptized in that community. community. For this reason they were called Anabaptists." [8]

As we keep these facts in mind, and consider the words of ancient Waldensian by On Sorry On Sorry On Sorry Independent writers on separation, sounding much like 20th-Century independent Baptist Preachers, and preachers, and quoting the same verses that have often been quoted by independent appliests in doc. Baptists, and quoting the same verses that have often been quoted by independent Same verses that have often been quoted by independent Same verses that have often been quoted by independent Same were originally separation, then it is obvious that the Waldenses Says Ramaday independent Baptists. Says originally separationists in the same mold as modern-day independent Baptists. Says

The Waldenses regarded all the Catholic worship as the grossest idolatry; they did

not, therefore, commune with Antichrist. They boldly affirmed that the Church of Rome is the "whore of Babylon," and any communion with her they regarded as spiritual fornication - an unspeakable abomination. [9]

Pickering agrees that the Waldenses were once separatists, even if they are no longer:

"The small remnant of Waldensians remaining in Italy are ecumenical and not of the same spirit as their ancient counterparts. Most certainly the great majority of Waldensians were separatists." [10]

It may be objected that we cannot claim the Waldenses as separationists since they often attended the masses and other ordinances of the Roman Catholic Church, as we have seen that they sometimes did, to avoid persecution. The answer is that this kind of compromising conduct was not characteristic of all Waldenses in all times and places, but was an error that certain Waldenses, especially those of Savoy just prior to the Reformation, fell into, against their better judgment, under the weight of the greatest pressure and persecution. Mosheim tells us that the Waldenses of France were of a far more stalwart and separationist spirit than those of Savoy:

"It is, however, to be observed, that the Waldenses were not without their intestine divisions. Such as resided in Italy differed considerably in their opinions from those who dwelt in France and the other European countries... The latter affirmed on the contrary, that the Church of Rome had apostatized from Christ, was deprived of the Holy Spirit, and was in reality, the whore of Babylon mentioned in the Revelation of St. John."

D'Aubigne tells us more of the spirit of the French Waldenses in 1484, who shortly afterwards paid a great price in persecution for their separationist stance:

"There was at that time on all the slopes of the Dauphinese Alps, and along the banks of the Durance, a new growth of the old Waldensian opinions... Bold as profitable to pray in a stable as in a church." [12]

Concerning the compromising Waldenses of Savoy, it is recorded that as a direct who urged them to forsake all fellowship with the Church of Rome, the Waldenses

quickly returned to a separationist position. Wylie says:

"The ancient spirit of the Waldenses revived. They no longer practiced those dissimulations and cowardly concealments to which they had had recourse to avoid persecution. They no longer feared to confess their faith. Henceforward they were never seen at mass, or in the Popish churches. They refused to recognize the priests of Rome as ministers of Christ, and under no circumstance would they receive any spiritual benefit or service at their hands." [13]

The conclusion is clear; the Waldenses were separationists, in an age when to be a separationist was punishable by being burned to death or otherwise hideously tortured, often over a period of days, before the sufferer was released by death. Their consistency as separationists was not perfect, but Baptists of 20th-Century America, who have never had to face the persecution which the Waldenses faced, should not follow their example of complete separation from the Roman Catholic Church.

Unlike the Waldenses, we are privileged to live in an age in which separation costs us almost nothing, and yet many Baptists are letting down their walls of separation, rushing to recognize the Church of Rome as a Christian communion and seeking cumenical fellowship with it. David Beale has cited these examples: a Roman Catholic priest filling the pulpit of the First Baptist Church of Tallahassee, Florida in 1966; a Baptist-Catholic dialogue at Wake Forest College in 1969, which declared that "we are brothers in Christ"; an ecumenical gathering, including Roman Catholics, at the First Baptist Church of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma in 1970; a Roman Catholic priest leading a revival meeting at the Vestavia Hills Baptist Church in Birmingham, Alabama in 1970; a Roman Catholic priest serving as a chaplain at Furman University (Baptist) in Greenville, South Carolina in 1970; and the visit by Dr. W.A. Criswell and 400 members of his First Baptist Church of Dallas, Texas to VI. [14]

Instead of asking whether the Waldenses were separationists in the mold of modern Baptists, perhaps we should ask if modern Baptists have one-tenth of the courage and separationist conviction that the Waldenses had. By our friendly econoperation with the Roman Catholic Church, we betray the blood of the Waldenses who died rather than compromise with the Church of Rome in any way.

need to remind ourselves of the noble example of the Waldenses, and emulate that example.

In connection with the subject of separation, it should be noted that the Waldenses not only observed ecclesiastical separation, it should be noted that the same standards of page. standards of personal separation as those observed by independent Baptists today.

Monastier save. Monastier says:

"The frequenting of taverns, "those fountains of sin and schools of the devil," where he works missed where he works miracles of his own kind," were prohibited, as well as dancing."
[15]

The Waldenses took a stern stand against dancing, proclaiming that:

"A ball is the devil's procession, and whosoever entereth in there, entereth in<sup>to</sup> his procession. The devil of the dance. So his procession. The devil is the leader, the middle, and the end of the dance. So many paces as a many paces and paces are a many paces and paces many paces as a man maketh in a ball, so many leaps he maketh toward hell."

It is commonly thought that the strict standards of personal and ecclesiastical separation championed by a common personal a separation championed by 20th-Century fundamentalists are something new, originated by them. But and honorable originated by them. But actually they are only following in a long and honorable tradition of separationism of the separation tradition of separationism of which the Waldenses were a part. The Waldenses were not legalists; that is, they did not legalists; that is, they did not believe that the keeping of rules was essential for salvation; but they did heliant believe that the keeping of rules was essential the salvation; but they did believe in standards for godly living, consistent with the principles of Christian liberty. principles of Christian liberty. One recent author, Virgil Bopp, has said:

"These Waldenses cherished the distinct doctrine and practice of Christian in the Baptist liberty and generally agreed with what have come to be called the Baptist Distinctives. They might wall be with what have come to be called the Baptist and Distinctives. Distinctives. They might well have been called the Baptists of an early day."

cit., p. 179. [4] Orchard, op. cit. p. 6. [2] Monastier, op. cit., p. 374. [3] Ray, op. lbid. pp. 162. Orchard, op. cit. p. 6. [2] Monastier, op. cit., p. 81-82. [7] cit., p. 179. [4] Orchard, op. cit., p. 61. [5] Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Ibid., pp. 153-155. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. Little Rock, Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [6] Ibid., pp. 81-82. [7] Challenge D. 189. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. cit., p. 9. [8] William Mantine N. Morland, op. ci Ibid., pp. 153-155. [8] William Manlius Nevins, Alien Baptism and the Baptists, Little Rock, Separation 75, p. 82. [9] P. Nevins, Alien Baptism and the Baptists, Diskering, Biblical Challenge Press, 1977, p. 82. [9] Ray, op. cit., p. 335. [10] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [11] Challenge Press, 1977, p. 82. [9] Ray, op. cit., p. 335. [10] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [11] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [12] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [12] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [13] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [14] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [14] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, p. 36. [15] Ernest Pickering, Biblical 1979, Separation: The Struggle for a Pure Church, Schaumburg, Illinois, Regular Baptist Press, 1979, p. 36. [11] Quoted by J.M. Hollidar, T. 1979, p. 36. [11] Quoted by J.M. Holliday, The Baptist Heritage, Texarkana, Bogard Press.

1974, pp. 39-40. [12] D'Aubigne, op. cit., p. 433. [13] Wylie, op. cit., p. 60. [14] David O. Beale, S. B. C. H. Deb Lones University Press, Beale, S.B.C.: House on the Sand?, Greenville, South Carolina, Bob Jones University Press, pp. 140-140 1985, pp. 140-142. [15] Monastier, op. cit., p. 97. [16] Morland, op. cit., p. 88. [17] Virgil Ropp, Confident. Bopp, Confidently Committed: A Look at the Baptist Heritage, Schaumburg, Illinois, Regular Baptist P. Regular Baptist Press, 1987, p. 42.

# CHAPTED EICHT

# WALDENSIAN CHURCH GOVERNMENT AND STANDARDS FOR CHURCH MEMBERSHIP

There is much evidence to show that the churches of the Waldenses were organized on the same because to show that the churches of the Waldenses were organized on the same basis as independent Baptist churches today, with congregational rule, a ministry called by the congregations, and church membership composed of immersed helia. Waldenses in the congregations, and church membership compared the Waldenses in the congregations of the waldenses in the congregations came into contact with the remnants of the waldenses in the congregations came into contact with the remnants of the waldenses in the congregations of the congregations of the congregations of the waldenses in the congregations. Waldenses in the early 16th Century, he found them to be practicing Baptist church polity:

"A notable proof of the antiquity of the Baptists of Moravia is here recorded.

To hanna Schler of the antiquity of the Baptists of Moravia is here recorded. Johanna Schlecta Costelacius wrote a letter from Bohemia, October 10, 1519, to Erasmus, affirming that for one hundred years the Picards had been dipping believers. believers, affirming that for one hundred years the Picards had been ordered words are: 'Such as the picards had been ordered are water. are: 'Such as come over to their sect must every one be dipped in mere water.

These p: the sect must every one be lipped in mere water.

These p: the Flemish Netherlands, These Picards, Waldenses, were spread all over the Flemish Netherlands, and in Germen. and in Germany... Erasmus wrote of them, 'The Husites renounce all rites and bout only of the stand practice in both only of the stand practice in the stand practice in the stand practice in the standard practice in the s ceremonies of the Catholic Church; they ridicule our doctrine and practice in sacrament. both sacraments; they deny orders and elect officers from among the laity; they they no other. they are dinned. They believe they deny orders and elect officers from among the law, they are dinned. they are dipped in water, or baptized; and they reckon one another without distinction in distinction in rank to be called brothers and sisters."

Historians appear to be in agreement that the Waldenses required baptism for membership. Overbey says:

They also believed that the ordinances were only baptism and the Lord's pper and they also believed that the ordinances were only baptism were the paper. baptism was by the ordinances were only baptism and the Botton and baptism was by immersion, and that salvation and baptism were the

requirements for church membership." [2]

Jarrell says:

The Waldenses admitted the catechumeni after an exact instruction, a long fast in which the church united, to witness to them the concern they took in their conversion, and a confession of sins in token of contrition. The newly baptized were, the same description of sins in token of contrition. were, the same day, admitted to the eucharist, with all the brethren and sisters present. Thus they, like Baptists, first instructed; second, baptized; third, being in the Church, admitted them to the supper. . . . " [3]

Armitage says:

"On one point more the Waldensians of the dispersion were one with the Anti-pedobaptists. The marked Anti-pedobaptists. They insisted on a regenerate Church membership marked by baptism upon their by baptism upon their personal faith.... The Baptists of today and the original Waldensians have Waldensians have much in common. They sought the restoration of Apostolic Church life in a terror of Apostolic Church life in a terror. Church life in a true Christian character and in a holy church membership; they followed the life of t they followed the literal interpretation of Scripture; their priesthood was that of believers and of believers and not of a hierarchy; men renewed in heart and life...." [4]

Meanwhile, from an ancient confession of the Waldenses, we read these words, referring to

Congregation of the poor 1... by which also we are received into the Holy Congregation of the people of God, thus protesting and declaring openly our faith and amendment of life." [5]

Thus the Baptistic policy of the Waldenses, in requiring baptism as a prerequisite for membership, appears to be waldenses. membership, appears to be well-documented. It is remarkable that the Waldenses maintained such a policy in control of the well-documented is remarkable that the waldenses had by death. maintained such a policy, in an age when rebaptizing was often punished by death.

The steadfastness of the Waldan age when rebaptizing was often punished by death. The steadfastness of the Waldenses in insisting on an immersed membership should be a lesson and encouragement as in insisting on an immersed membership should continue be a lesson and encouragement to Baptists today. Baptist churches should continue that noble policy, and the continue to Baptists today. that noble policy, and the free flow of members from Baptist churches to interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churches to be interdenominational churches the flow of members from Baptist churche interdenominational churches that do not require baptism for membership should be discouraged. Such churches with the not require baptism for membership have discouraged. Such churches, with their easygoing membership standards (if they have membership rolls at all.) are not of the contraction of the co membership rolls at all,) are not of like faith and practice with true Baptist churches,

and thus Baptist churches should not agree to grant letters of good and regular standing to should not agree to grant letters of good and regular standing to churches should not agree to grant letters of good and the same family of the the same family of God, but this does not mean that the interdenominational religious societies. Societies must be recognized as true churches in the New Testament sense of the term.

Another area of Christian practice where modern Baptists could learn a lesson from Waldenson us that they did the Waldenses is that of church discipline Monastier assures us that they did discipline unruly church members:

Firmness, prudence, and charity were observed in the administration of reproof. If the conduction of t reproof. If the offender resisted brotherly exhortations, and his fault had been serious and notice. serious and public, should he refuse to amend, ecclesiastical penalties were inflicted on him. inflicted on him. He might be deprived 'of all assistance from the Church, of the ministry, of the fellowship of the Church, and of union with it." [6]

The administration of scriptural church discipline is a practice that has almost disappeared from disappeared from most modern Baptist churches, with ruinous results. It is often in vain to expect that Vain to expect the heathen outside the church to fall in line with God's will for them, when the church When the church membership is stacked with those whose unruly lives show forth a testimony to the church membership is stacked with those whose unruly lives show forth a bad testimony to the community.

Concerning the pastors of the Waldenses, the ancient discipline of the churches of hiedmont tells. Pledmont tells us how the Waldenses selected their pastors or ministers:

All those which are to be received as pastors among us, while they remain with their brethren the ministry, their brethren they are to intreat our people to receive them into the ministry, be the wise that they are to intreat our people to receive them, that they may be made worth. be made worthy of so great a charge; and this they are to do, to give a proof or of their evidence of their humility....

And afterwards having good testimonials, and being well approved of, they are ecceived with improved with improved testimonials. received with imposition (or laying on) of hands and preaching....

Our daily food, and that raiment wherewith we are covered, we have the story of alms, sufficient for us, by the ministered and given to us freely and by way of alms, sufficient for us, by the good people whom we teach and instruct.

"Among other privileges which God has given to His servants, He hath given them this, to choose their leaders and those who are to govern the people, and to constitute elders in their charges, according to the diversity of the work in the unity of Christ, which is clear by that saying of the Apostle in the epistle to Titus chapter 1, "For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou shouldest set in order the things that are wanting, and ordain elders in every city, as I had appointed

"When any one of us the foresaid pastors falls into any gross sin, he is both excommunicated and prohibited to preach." [7]

From this important passage we gather that the Waldensian clergy were selected by the congregations they served, and that they received support from their congregations. The pastors as well as other church members were subject to church discipline and postdiscipline, and pastors who fell into gross sin were deposed from the ministry. No excuses were made for the ministry on the excuses were made for receiving adulterous pastors back into the ministry on the basis of God's form. basis of God's forgiveness or because those pastors were good fund-raisers. Our churches and guilible Grant because those pastors were good fund-raisers. churches and gullible Christians today, who are so willing to receive adulterous preachers and covetous "televangelists," allowing them to occupy pulpits although their lives are stained in the stained and stained in the stained and stained in the stained in the stained and stained in the stained their lives are stained with sin, would do well to observe the example of the Waldenses in rejection of the Waldenses in rejecting such false servants of the Lord. An ancient confession of the Waldenses contains this warning against irresponsible clergy:

"We hold that the ministers of the church, as bishops and pastors, ought to be irreprehensible, as well in the church, as bishops and pastors, ought irreprehensible, as well in their life as doctrine. And that otherwise they ought to be deprived of their off to be deprived of their office, and others substituted in their places. As likewise, that none ought to pressure, and others substituted in their places. As likewise, that none ought to presume to take upon him this honor, but he who is called by God as was Aaron feeding to take upon him this honor, but he weekt gain, God as was Aaron, feeding the flock of God, not for the sake of dishonest gain, nor as having any lorder. nor as having any lordship over the clergy, but as being sincerely an example to his flock, in word in comment to the clergy, but as being sincerely an example to "[8] his flock, in word, in conversation, in charity, in faith, and in chastity." [8]

It is evident that Waldensian views concerning the ministry were in accord with the Baptist conviction concerning the ministry were in accord with the Baptist conviction concerning the priesthood of all believers, not the Roman Catholic view which exalted the priests to a concerning the priests to a concerning the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the ministry were in accord with the priests to a concerning the concerning the priests to a concerning the c view which exalted the priests high above the laity. Ray says:

"The Waldenses had pastors ordained by themselves. It is so generally admitted that the ancient Waldenses are bership, as that the ancient Waldenses recognized the equality of their membership, as

regards church privileges, that it is unnecessary to occupy much space on this point. Point. ... It may be regarded as an established historic fact, that the ancient Waldenson Waldenses possessed the Baptist peculiarity of religious equality in church membership." [9]

Concerning the question of who among the Waldenses could administer ordinances, Robinson quotes Bishop Bossuet as saying:

They all without distinction, if they were reputed good people, preached and administered and but required all administered ordinances. They made no provision for a clergy, but required all work for their to work for their bread. They formed their churches of only good men." [10]

It may be questioned whether this Roman Catholic critic of the Waldenses had a perfect understand whether this Roman Catholic critic of the Catholics belt. perfect understanding of the nature of the Waldensian clergy. Since the Catholics believed that he catholics that he cat believed that no man could administer ordinances without having received the Catholic sacrams as could administer ordinances without having received the Waldensian pastors as Catholic sacrament of ordination, they tended to regard the Waldensian pastors as a symen, indistingtion of ordination, they tended to regard the Waldensian pastors without having received as a sacrament of ordination, they tended to regard the Waldensian pastors as a sacrament of ordination, they tended to regard the Waldensian pastors as a sacrament of ordination, they tended to regard the waldensian pastors as laymen, indistinguishable from the members of their congregations. A contrary view and the sented by I presented by Jones, who emphasizes that the Waldenses had a distinct ministry, and that their laymen did not administer ordinances:

The Catholic writers frequently reproach them with making little or no the count of the writers frequently reproach them with making little or no the the gospel community reproach them with making little the gospel community and female; and the gospel common to every member of the church, to administer the allow and the church, to administer the sallow and the church, to administer the sallow and the church, to administer the sallow and the church, to administer the church and the church are the church that they allowed persons who had not the suffrages of the church, to administer been ordinances. the ordinances of gospel worship. That this was an unfounded accusation to the substance of been very satisfactorily shown by Dr. Allix, whose researches into the substance of his churches are substance those churches entitle him to the gratitude of posterity. I subjoin the substance defence of the substance of posterity is defence of the substance of the subs of his defence of them against this charge.

".1. Bernard, abbot of Foncaud, in his treatise against the sect of the Waldenses, brook accuses of the Waldenses, as he very brook accuses of the waldenses, as he very brook accuses of the shows, as he very brook accuses of the shows as he very before the ch. Vi. accuses only some of them of having no pastors; which shows, as he very end cally remarks. Properly remarks, that the body of that church had a fixed ministry before the end of the twelfth century....

Lombardy, where he himself resided, they had their bishops of the Paterine.

Again, Matthew P. Again, Matthew Paris (under the year 1243) speaks of a bishop of the Paterines

in Cremona, who was deposed by them for fornication. And, further, Pilickdorf, a writer quoted by Bossuet in his history of the Variations, p. 223, says, 'they do not approve of a layman's celebrating the eucharist,' ch. 1, which sufficiently proves, says Dr. Allix, that they made a signal difference between the people and their pastors."

The evidence leans toward the conclusion that Waldensian views on the ministry were quite similar to those of Baptists today. But before we can embrace the Waldenses as Baptists in their church organization, we must examine what is perhaps the most important issue of all, the question of whether the congregations of the Waldenses were independent and under congregational rule, or were under the rule of bishops (the episcopal form of church government). Morland examines the claim that they had episcopal rule, and then dismisses it:

"Rainerius in a treatise of his, does indeed give a strange description of the office and customs of those barbes, namely, that they had a chief bishop among them, who had always two attending him, the one whereof he called his eldest, and the other his youngest son; and besides these two, he had also a third that followed him in the quality of a deacon; he adds likewise, that this bishop laid his hands on others, with a sovereign authority, and sent them about, hither and thither, as he pleased, and that in as imperious a manner as the Pope himself.

"With these and the like fictitious notions or chimeras Rainerius would fain possess the minds of men, but all in vain, for, it is manifest by what has been already inserted in the fifth chapter of this book, that both the calling of those ministers, and the administration of their office, was quite of another nature and them, were to intreat the people to receive them, and to pray to God for them.

Morland describes the synods of the Waldenses in these terms:

"As to their synodical constitutions, the above-specified manuscripts tell us, that the barbes (or pastors) assembled once a year, to treat of their affairs in a general council. And the Italian manuscript (the original thereof is to be seen with the rest in the University library of Cambridge, bearing date 1587) tells us that this council was constantly held in the month of September, and that some

hundreds of years ago, there were seen assembled together in one synod held at Valone del Lauso in Val Clusone, no less than 140 barbes. The same manuscript adds, that they had always their consistories, and a form of discipline among themselves, except it were in the time of persecution, and then the barbes had the winter season, in secret, and did also preach to their congregations, during tops of mountains, as the people were there feeding their flocks." [13]

There is nothing stated here that would give any evidence of an episcopal hierarchy, or that would show that the local congregations were not independent. Many independent Baptist churches are affiliated with associations that meet once a year for mutual fellowship and consultation, a practice which is not regarded by them as church government autonomy of the local church. Jarrel's remarks on Waldensian government are worthy of consideration:

"In church government the Waldenses were essentially Baptists. Gieseler speaks of "their anti-hierarchal system."... Dr. Lord: 'They have had a ministry of their own, consisting only of presbyters and deacons.'... Says Robert Baird: There is nothing in the organization or action of these churches that in the slightest degree savors of prelacy. And, in answer to our inquiries on this subject, the pastors have, without exception, stated that prelacy has never existed in these valleys; and that such has ever been the uniform opinion of their ancestors, so far as it has been handed down to them. As to their bishops, spoken of in some of their early writings, they believe that they were nothing more than bastors....'

"Of them Preger, than whom there is no higher authority, says that all ecclesiastical authority was vested in the congregation, so that there was no for bishops...."

They had (as are the general secretaries or superintendents of missions among Baptists of today) general superintendents. But, as Dr. A.H. Newman observes: The early Waldenses... refused to employ the word bishop to designate their general superintendents. But, as Dr. A.H. Newman observes: Reneral superintendents. But, as Dr. A.H. Newman observes: Beneral superintendents. But, as Dr. A.H. Newman observes: Reneral superintendents. Reneral superinten

The Waldensian pastor Monastier states that there were no high-ranking prelates among his ancient forebears, and that all the pastors held equal rank:

"No hierarchical distinction was established; the only difference that existed between the pastors was that arising from age, or services performed, and personal respect." [15]

Thus there is every reason to conclude that the Waldenses observed the principle of the autonomy of the local church, which is held to by independent Baptists today. They also believed in an ordained clergy, in a regenerated and baptized church membership, and in church discipline. Their assemblies were true New Testament churches, worthy to be described as Baptist. In fact, we might devoutly wish that all Baptist churches today might adhere as closely to the New Testament pattern as the Waldensian churches did.

[1] Christian, op. cit., pp. 93-94 [2] Edward Overbey, A Brief History of the Baptists, Little Rock, Challenge Press, 1974, p. 46. [3] Jarrel, op. cit., p. 168. [4] Armitage, op. cit., pp. 304-305. 304-305. [5] Morland, op. cit., p. 38. [6] Monastier, op. cit., pp. 96-97. [7] Morland, op. cit., pp. 73-74. [8] Ibid., p. 38. [9] Ray, op. cit., pp. 332-333. [10] Robinson, op. cit., p. 476. [11] Jones, op. cit., pp. 67-68. [12] Morland, op. cit., pp. 178-179. [13] Ibid., p. 183. [14] Jarrel, op. cit., pp. 177-180. [15] Monastier, op. cit., p. 95.

#### CHAPTED NINE

# WERE THE WALDENSES MANICHAEANS?

The accusation of Manichaeanism is one that the Catholics appear to have used universally to smear all dissenting and evangelical sects in the Middle Ages, including the Waldenses. The charge of Manichaeanism made against the Waldenses cannot be easily dismissed as a baseless fabrication of the Romanists, since it was repeated by Robinson, who wrote:

The old Waldenses had no notion of uniformity, and many of them were Manicheans and Arians.... All these people were called Waldenses, and hence it came to pass, that some contended they were Manicheans, and Arians, and others that they were the direct opposite. Divide them into two classes, and both say the truth. The Jesuit Gretser, abating the Catholicism of his language, gives a just account of them, when he says, the Waldenses were collections of various they were not guilty of manichaeism, and other abominable heresies. 'Yes,' replies the learned Limborch, than whom no man knew their history better, they were they were, many of them Manichaeans: it is not fair,' adds he, 'to deny a fact, which is as clear as noon day.'" [1]

Robinson, in his voluminous researches on the Waldenses, fails to make it clear as day that the Waldenses, fails to make it clear as day that the Waldenses were Manichaeans. In fact, he fails to present any evidence for that conclusions. for that conclusion at all, except for the few quotations from the "experts" which we have already. have already seen. Also, he admits that not all the Waldenses were Manichaeans. Even if it is Even if it is conceded that some, or many, Waldenses in various times and places were Maniet Were Manichaeans, this would in no way detract from the true orthodoxy and Baptistic nature. Baptistic nature of many other Waldensian assemblies throughout the Middle Ages.

However, an examination of the extant writings of the Waldenses yields no evidence taint of Management and they or taint of Manichaeanism, and provides strong reasons for believing that they deep the tener. rejected the tenets of Manichaeanism and dualism. But before we go further, let us then what the second manichaeanism and dualism. But before them in these define what those heretical tenets were. Tierney and Painter define them in these

The basic feature of Catharan doctrine was belief in a dual supreme deity of and Saton. Christian. God and Satan, good and evil. God created and ruled the spiritual world, and Asan the mator. Satan the material. This doctrine was essentially Manichean and non-Christian.

The material of the was essentially Manichean and non-Christian.

The perfect life according to the material of the needed to the ne As all material. This doctrine was essentially Manichean and non-Christial teachings of the Country of the devotees had to be absolutely cells. teachings of the Cathari was extremely ascetic. Its devotees had to be absolutely celibate and could eat no animal food." [2]

Newman says:

"Manichaeism is Oriental dualism under Christian names, the Christian names of the christian names of the christian names, the Christian names of the christian employed retaining scarcely a trace of their proper meaning. The from practised a Buddle and the control of the proper meaning abstaining from proper and a Buddle of their proper meaning and to destroy marrised a Buddle of their property. practised a Buddhist asceticism, possessing no property, abstaining from animage, from proper asceticism, possessing no property, abstaining from animage, from property asceticism, possessing no property and to destroy animage, from property asceticism, possessing no property are an animage. marriage, from wine, from animal food, were extremely careful not to destroy treat or vegetal. animal or vegetable life.... The Manichaeans rejected the New Telegraphs of the New Tele treated the New Testament in the most arbitrary way, rejecting mostles did unfavorable and maintaining that even the apostles arbitrary way. seemed unfavorable to their views, and maintaining that even the apostles did

not fully understand Christ."[3]

Broadbent says:

"Manichaeism assails alike the teaching of Scripture and the testimony of Nature that God is the Creator of all things.... Manichaeism, by attributing the visible and corporeal to the work of a dark and evil power and only that which is spiritual to the true God, struck at the roots of the Divine revelation, of which Creation, the Fall, and Redemption are essential and indivisible parts." [4]

Manichaeanism was a warmed-over version of the old Gnosticism of Marcion which taught that the visible world was created by an evil Demiurge, not the true God. It is obvious that if the Waldenses were Manichaeans, then they were not Baptists, or in any sense orthodox Christian believers of any kind. However, we can easily clear them from the charge of Manichaeanism by examining their statements of faith, to determine their beliefs on two key elements of Manichaeanism: their views as to who created the world, and their views on marriage as opposed to celibacy.

If the Waldenses were Manichaeans, then they would have rejected the concept of God as creator, and they would have rejected those books of the Old Testament that described God as the Creator, especially the Pentateuch. But we find no trace of any such rejection of God as creator in the writings of the Waldenses. On the contrary, their confessions specifically confirm that doctrine which was so offensive to the Manichaeans. The confession of faith dated in 1120 by Morland contains these

"Article 3: We acknowledge for the holy canonical Scriptures, the Books of the Holy Bible, viz. Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy....

"Article 4: The Books abovesaid teach this, that there is one God, Almighty, all wise, and all good, who has made all things by His goodness, for He formed Adam in His own image and likeness, but that by the envy of the Devil, and the disobedience of the said Adam, sin has entered into the world, and that we are sinners in Adam and by Adam." [5]

Another ancient confession from Morland contains these articles:

"Article 1. We believe, that there is but one God, that He is a Spirit, Creator of all things, God of all, who is over all, and through all, and in us all, who ought to be worshims. to be worshipped in spirit and in truth, whom alone we serve, and to whom we give the classical and the contract of the classical and the give the glory of our life, food, raiment, health, sickness, prosperity, and adversity. adversity; and we love Him as one who knoweth our hearts....

"Article 12. We hold for the rule of our faith, the Old and New Testament, and agree to the contained in the agree to the general confession of faith, with the articles contained in the Apostles Confession of faith, with the articles contained in the Apostles Creed, namely, I believe in God the Father Almighty, etc." [6]

The Waldenses were creationists, worshipping the God who created the material world, the God who created all 66 books World, the God that the Manichaeans would not worship. They accepted all 66 books of the Bible as of the Bible as canonical, not picking and choosing as the Gnostics and Manichaeans
There is a canonical to be carried as the Gnostics and Manichaeans and Manichaeans are carried or the evil nature of did. There is no trace of Manichaean doctrine on the creation or the evil nature of matter to be found in any extant Waldensian writings.

As we examine the views of the Waldenses on marriage, we would expect them to the Script the Script when the Script when the script their reject the Scriptural view of the Waldenses on marriage, we would expect the Manichaeans) and view of marriage as a divine institution (if they were seen allowed only their seen allowed only their seen allowed only their seen allowed only their Manichaeans) and to emphasize celibacy. The Manichaeans allowed only their spirit but those who would attain spirit. second-class followers to marry, as a necessary evil, but those who would attain creat perfect: spiritual perfection among them were expected to avoid all taint of the material spiritual world incl. created world, including sexual activity within marriage. (Perhaps the Manichaean your lingers on an activity within marriage.) Spirit lingers on among some modern evangelicals who teach, or at least imply, that people will the sound specific to avoid the Maintana poung people will the sound some modern evangelicals who teach, or at least imply, that young people will be more holy if they do not marry.)

How did the Waldenses feel about marriage? One of their pre-Reformation confessions commends that institution:

God Himself: where there be no hindthere be no hindrance specified by the Word of God."

The Nobla Leycon contains these verses:

Than the heart of every an noble law that was given us by God, and written in the heart of every that he mister with the marriage-tie, that noble accord or the marriage-tie, that noble accord or the marriage-tie, that no heart of every the marriage-tie, the marriage-ti man ... that he might likewise keep firm the marriage-tie, that noble accord or the contract." [8] contract." [8]

The 1532 confession of Angrogne contains these clauses:

- "12. Marriage is not forbidden to any, of what quality and condition soever he be.
- "13. Whosoever forbiddeth marriage teacheth a diabolical doctrine.
- "14. Whosoever hath not the gift of chastity is bound to marry." [9]

The Magdeburgh Centuriators found the Waldenses to hold these beliefs concerning marriage:

"Vows of celibacy are the inventions of men, and productive of uncleanness. The marriage of priests is both lawful and necessary." [10]

Thus it appears that the Waldenses not only did not forbid or discourage marriage among their own adherents, but they also scorned the claims of the Roman Catholic clergy around them to possession of a greater degree of holiness as a result of their vows of celibacy. There is no hint of Manichaean doctrine to be found in any pronouncements of the Waldenses on the subject of marriage.

It is true that there are many references to Waldensian pastors who remained single, but there is no evidence that they did so in the belief that celibacy would accord them a greater degree of holiness, or that there was anything unclean or defiling about the institution of marriage. Rather, these heroic pastors voluntarily gave up the opportunity to marry in order that they might be more effective in their ministry, which often involved daring missionary journeys to the far corners of Europe, carrying on evangelistic work in an age when such work often resulted in imprisonment and death. Monastier says:

"It appears that some pastors were married; while the greater part were not so, though not on account of its being prohibited, but that they might be more free for the service of the Lord." [11]

Newman says:

"Marriage was thought to be inconsistent with unreserved devotion to

evangelistic work and was even dissolved in favor of such work. The ascetical principle was not carried by them to the extreme reached in monasticism, asceticism being regarded by them not as an end in itself but as a means to the great end of evangelizing the world." [12]

The Waldenses provide a splendid example for our young people today. On the one hand, they are accepting it as hand, they rejoiced without hesitation to enter into the married state, accepting it as a gift from God, without hesitation to enter into the married state, according to the Manichaean down, without any squeamishness about it such as was felt by the Manichaean dualists who regarded sexual activity as intrinsically evil. On the other hand, many ways who regarded sexual activity as intrinsically evil of willing, hand, many Waldenses, like the Apostle Paul, exhibited a spirit of willing, ungrudging and ungrudging self-sacrifice, choosing to remain single in order that they might more effectively read to the sacrifice of the sacrification effectively reach the continent of Europe with the Gospel. Their reward is greater in heaven today. heaven today because they voluntarily gave up the good things that God provides us in this world in this world, in order to seek the kingdom of heaven, doing so without ecclesiastical constraint or sentiments of self-righteousness.

The examination of Waldensian writings reveals no trace of Manichaean doctrine or influence in any the Waldenses strongly influence in any area of doctrine or practice; on the contrary, the Waldenses strongly Rolling belief. affirmed beliefs contrary to Manichaean doctrine. Jones refutes the accusation by World against the Manichaean doctrine. Robinson against the Waldenses (that many of them were Manichaeans) in these words:

"It is a very questionable point, whether the sect of the Manichaeans had any this, and I am strongly inclined to existence at the period of which Mr. R. is treating, and I am strongly inclined to that they had think they had not, at least in Europe. But even though that could be proved, I per venture to accommon to the power of Mr. R. or any other may venture to affirm that it was utterly out of the power of Mr. R. or conduct of the on to produce the power of the powe person to produce from the confessions, catechisms, testimonies, or conduct of adoptions of the Albigenses the confessions of the person to produce from the confessions, catechisms, testimonies or conduct of the Albigenses the confessions of the person to piedmontese adoptions of the person to piedmontese piedmontese. the Albigenses the least trace of Manichaeism. I am tempted on the Piedmontese Wals the left. Albigenses the least trace of Manichaeism. I am tempted on this occasion of the lofty language of Dr. Allix, when defending the lofty language of Dr. Allix, when defending the lofty language of Dr. Allix, when defending the catholic bishop language of Dr. Allix, when defending the lofty language of Dr. Allix, when defending the language of Dr. Allix, when defendin Waldenses from the same charge brought against them by the fact is, that, Bossuet: I defy the impudence of the devil himself, says he, the fact is, that, on the least of writings the least shadow of Manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, that, after subject the manichaeitheaeism.' - Remarks, ch. 17. The fact is, the fact is the manichaeitheaei on this subject, the catholic writers misled Mosheim and Limborch; and these historians.

The burden of proof definitely rests with those who would maintain that any of the Waldenses, at any time was Manichagans. The evidence is that most or all of them Waldenses, at any time, were Manichaeans. The evidence is that most or all of them were Bible-believing Baptists, not followers of a half-Gnostic, half-Buddhist, half-baked dualist cult

[1] Robinson, op\_cit\_pp\_299, 303, 311 [2] Tierney and Painter, op. cit., p. 289. [3] Newman, op. cit., pp. 194, 196, 197. [4] Broadbent, op. cit., pp. 29-30. [5] Morland, op. cit., pp. 30, 32. [6] Ibid., pp. 37-39. [7] Ibid., p. 38. [8] Ibid., p. 102. [9] Ibid., pp. 40-41. [10] Jones, op. cit., pp. 48-49. [11] Monastier, op. cit., p. 96. [12] Newman, op. cit., p. 580. [13] Jones, op. cit., p. 29.

#### CHAPTED TEN

#### WHEN AND WHY DID THE WALDENSES CEASE TO BE BAPTISTS?

The previous chapters have shown that the pre-Reformation Waldenses were Baptists in all major points of doctrine, and have failed to uncover any evidence of unorthodox beliefs that would disqualify them as true Christians or as Baptists. However, we cannot drop the subject at this point, since it is admitted by all that the Waldenses of today are not Baptists, and have not been for centuries; they are Pedobaptists who practice infant baptism. When did the Waldenses change their doctrine on this subject, and why?

The evidence points to the historic year of 1532 as the time when the Waldenses ceased to be Baptists, as a result of their fellowship with the Reformers. Wylie describes this contact in these rapturous words:

"A manifold interest belongs to the meeting of these two churches. Each is a miracle to the other. The preservation of the Vaudois Church for so many ages, amid the fires of persecution, made her a wonder to the Church of the sixteenth century. The bringing up of the latter from the dead made her a yet greater wonder to the Church of the first century. These two churches compare their respective beliefs: they find that their creeds are not twain, but one. They compare the sources of their knowledge: they find that they have both of them drawn their doctrine from the Word of God; they are not two Churches, they are one. They are the elder and younger members of the same glorious family, the children of the same father. What a magnificent monument of the true antiquity and genuine catholicity of Protestantism!" [1]

Wylie's words must be taken with a grain of salt. While the Waldenses and Reformers would have found a remarkable degree of agreement on most major doctrines, there were clearly disagreements as well. We have already seen that some of the Well of the Waldenses were clearly disagreements as well. We have already seen distributed the Waldenses were upset by the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other Calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis on predestination and other calvinist described to the Reformed emphasis of the Refo Calvinist doctrines. There is every reason to believe that there must have been initial disagrees. disagreement in the area of infant baptism as well, since the Lutherans and Calvinists insisted up. insisted upon it, but the Waldenses, as we have seen, were throughout the Middle Ages declining the Waldenses, as we have seen, were throughout the Middle Ages declining to practice infant baptism. Jarrel cites a statement of Waldensian opposition to infant baptism as late as 1521:

"Montanus is quoted as saying: 'The Waldenses, in the public declaration of their faith to the French king, in the year 1521, assert in the strongest terms the baptizing of here. baptizing of believers and denying that of infants." [2]

have found them. have found themselves in a dilemma: they were in danger of extinction due to the persecutions of a dilemma: they were in danger of extinction due to the art. persecutions of their Catholic oppressors, and desirous of an alliance with the newly arisen Reformers, but the Reformers were strong proponents of infant baptism and water with the Reformers were strong proponents. The union of the persecutors of the Anabaptists who refused to baptize their infants. The union of the Waldenses with the Anabaptists who refused to baptize their infants. The waldenses the Waldenses with the their infants. Waldenses of the Anabaptists who refused to baptize their infants. The union of the Anabaptists who refused to baptize their infants. The waldenses agreed to baptize their infants to breast to be breast to agreed to practice infant baptism, and numerous historians have argued the events of 1532 could not have occurred unless the Waldenses did as Waldenses did agree to become Pedobaptists at this time. Concerning the events of Waller concerning the events of 1532, Waller says:

The Reformers were the bitter enemies and persecutors of the Baptists. They Pan: pursued them with as unrelenting and as merciless severity as ever did the Wals. They papists. They would not of course countenance these deputies from the that Waldenses until they gave up their opposition to infant baptism. The fact, then, that no creed act. that no creed of the Waldenses, that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the Waldenses, that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks commented to the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks the waldenses that no book or document at this time, in this creeks the waldenses the wald slightest commendatory allusion to infant baptism, until at this time, in this of the thing the treed of the Waldenses, that no book or document at this time, in this creed, drawn up allusion to infant baptism, until at and persecutors of the thing the tree of the tree creed, drawn up and adopted at the suggestion of the Baptiste. of the Baptists, by an assembly who reproach their we say, furnish to our mindsters with the suggestion of the say, these things, we say, first introduced wininisters with 'many and great errors', these things, and their our and strong process. mind strong presumptive proof that infant baptism was then first introduced among any who among any who could pretend at all to belong to the Waldenses proper." [3]

Vedder says:

"Great ignorance came upon them, as is testified by the literature that has survived, and in time they so far forgot the doctrines of their forefathers that many of the writers saw but little difference between themselves and the Romanists. Some of the old spirit remained, however, so that when in 1532 a Pedobaptist creed was adopted at the Synod of Angrogne, under the guidance of the Swiss reformers, Farel and Oecolampadius, a large minority refused to be bound by this new creed, declaring it to be a reversal of their previous beliefs. That they were correct in this interpretation is the verdict of modern scholars who have thoroughly investigated the earlier Waldensian history." [4]

Christian says:

On the eve of the Reformation, everything was on the decline - faith, life, light It was so of the Waldenses. Persecution had wasted their numbers and had broken their spirit and the few scattered leaders were dazed by the rising glories of the Reformation. The larger portion had gone with the Anabaptist movement. Sick and tired of heart in 1530, the remnant of the Waldenses opened negotiations with the Reformers, but a union was not effected until 1532. Since then the Waldenses have been Pedobaptists." [5]

Orchard says:

"It is certain that the ancient Waldensian church subsisted at the Reformation, and that they left off baptizing adults on their profession of faith. Whether all these churches of the brethren ultimately fell into the Lutheran community, and consequently were comprehended by imperial law, cannot be positively decided. It is plain here that the patience of the saints was worn out" [6]

Jarrel says:

"Prof. Whitsitt says that no doubt the Waldenses altered their opinions under Luther's influence." [7]

Moser states that the Waldenses began to accept alien immersion at this time:

"In Luther's war against the church, he sought the support of the Waldenses in

order to defeat Rome. This struck a responsive chord with the Waldenses who had been persecuted for lo these many centuries, and they showed some interest. However, Luther demanded a change in their practice of rebaptism lest he might be required to be rebaptized.... There were a few of the Anabaptists or Waldenses that Waldenses that went over to Luther and abandoned rebaptism just as you see Some Baptists today, and the histories written of today will only record those defectors and omit the majority who refused to compromise and join in with the ecumenical activity." [8]

Though it is likely that many Waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many Waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained their Baptist convictions after 1532, helping to give street many waldenses retained the street many waldenses retain helping to give strength to the Anabaptist movement, the main body of Waldenses Ref. V cannot be a series of the convictions and the convictions are in Savoy cannot be a series of the convictions are in Savoy cannot be a series of the conviction with the conviction in Savoy cannot be regarded as Baptists after that year. Their identification with the the Land of the Waldenses: "In Reformers from that time on is well-known. Latourette says of the Waldenses: "In Reformers to the Century to the family of the the 16th Century the majority of the remnants were drawn into the family of the Reformed Churches." [9]

The union of the Waldenses of Calabria with the Calvinists in 1560 was the cause of the severe persecution of the pope, with the severe persecution unleashed upon the Waldenses in that year by the pope, with that they was the cause the result that they was the cause the severe persecution unleashed upon the Waldenses in that year by the pope, with the result that they were completely exterminated in Calabria:

hurch at Geneva Ti church at Geneva. The consequence of this was, that several pastors or public Calaba, went from the consequence of this was, to settle with the churches in teachers went from the neighborhood of Geneva to settle with the churches profess. It seems Calabria, It seems probable that this circumstance had contributed to public hotics. profession in Calabria, or at least had brought the Waldenses more into public than they had a set the will be carried to revive the house that this circumstance had contributed to revive the house into public than they have the catholics. hotice than they had hitherto been; and it spread an alarm among the Carned

Meanwhile, the Waldenses of Savoy were drawn more closely into the Reformed Walden. as a result of 1630-1631 which wiped out the Waldensian clerov. Waldensian clergy:

The plague subsided during the winter, but in spring (1631) it rose up again in tenewed force. Of the three pastors, one other died, leaving hasters hasters has out. the plague subsided during the winter, but in spring (1631) it rose up again in the wed force. Of the three surviving pastors, one other died, leaving hastened to the vandate of the vand from Geneva and other viscos to the Valleys, lest the old lamp should go out. from Geneva and other places to the Valleys, lest the old lamp should go out.

. Another change introduced at this time was the assimilation of their ritual to that of Geneva." [11]

Concerning the plague, Mosheim says:

They retained not a few of their ancient rules of discipline, so late as the year 1630. But in this year, the greatest part of the Waldenses (in Piedmont) were swept off by pestilence; and their new teachers, whom they obtained from France, (Geneva), regulated all their affairs according to the pattern of the French Reformed (Presbyterian) Church." [12]

Thus, the Waldenses of modern times should be regarded as Presbyterians, not Baptists, and according to Latourette some of the Waldenses have identified themselves with the Presbyterians after emigrating to the United States:

"Here and there, beginning about 1892, Waldenses, of the indigenous Italian Protestantism, began coming in small bands. Groups of them settled in several states and in at least one instance formed a congregation which affiliated itself with the Presbyterians." [13]

The roots of the distinctively Presbyterian doctrinal practices among the Waldenses, especially infant bases. especially infant baptism, cannot be traced further back than the early 16th Century, when the Waldenses of Savoy, a dispirited, beleaguered, outnumbered band who had already deeply companies. already deeply compromised themselves with the Catholics to avoid complete extinction fall that the catholics and extinction, felt that they had no choice but to grasp the lifeline of fellowship and assistance offered to the assistance offered to them by the newly arisen Reformers. It should come to us as no surprise that the Walden of the works at surprise that the Waldenses accepted the Pedobaptist teaching of the Reformers at this time since many for this time, since many of them had carried their infants to the Catholic priests for bantism doing so and them had carried their infants to the Catholic priests for bantism. baptism, doing so grudgingly in order to avoid possible persecution and death-Fortunately, by this time many Waldenses residing in other regions of Europe had passed on the torch of Baptist convictions to their successors, who became known as Anabaptists, Mennonites or simply Baptists.

[1] Wylie, op cit, pp. 56-57 [2] Iarrel, op. cit., p. 168. [3] Waller, op. cit., p. 16. [4] Vedder op cit., p. 126 [5] Cit., p. 263. [7] Vedder, op. cit., p. 126. [5] Christian, op. cit., p. 168. [3] Waller, op. cit., p. 252-253. [7] Jarrel, op. cit., p. 150. [6] Orchard, op. cit., pp. 252-253. Jarrel, op. cit., p. 159. [8] M.L. Moser, Jr., "Ecumenical Movement and History," Baptist Challenge, January, 1988, p. 13 [9] Kenneth Scott Latourette, Christianity in a

Revolutionary Age, Volume 2: The 19th Century in Europe, Grand Rapids, Zondervan, 1959, p. 218. [10] Jones Op. cit., pp. 130-131. [12] Quotes in Volume 4: The Carrier Scott Latourette, A History of the Expansion of Christianity, Volume 4: The Great Century in Europe and the United States of America, A.D. 1800 - A.D. 1914, Grand Rapids, Zondervan, 1970, pp. 279-280.

# CHAPTER ELEVEN

# CONCLUSION: BAPTISTS EXISTED PRIOR TO THE REFORMATION, AND ARE NOT PROTESTANTS

There is clear evidence from a variety of historical sources that the medieval Waldenses were evidence from a variety of historical sources that Waldenses were Baptists. It is true that they held to some doctrines or practices that would be raise. would be rejected by many Baptists today, including refusal to swear oaths, pacifism, by many Preach and Driver and Preach and Preac woman preachers, and belief in a so-called "Universal Church." But it would be a mistake to up at mistake to un-church the Waldenses for such deviations from the New Testament pattern, since :- ... pattern, since in all major and essential respects their churches and doctrines were patterned on a solid New Testament basis, entitling them to be regarded as Baptists. Jarrel says:

"Admitting that many of those in the line of Church Perpetuity could not be held in "full c."
They were held in "full fellowship" with our best churches now does not in the least Banks against the sum of those in the line of Church Perpetuity could be least in the least the sum of the first the sum of the sum of the first the sum of the sum of the sum of the first the sum of militate against their being regarded as real Baptist churches of the first churches churches of the churches churches churches of the churches chu centuries, and those of the present, they were colored by their times. ... Likewise, and those of the present, they were colored by their times. Can not alter 12. can not alter the nature of a church or prove it not a Baptist church of our church we. for less can we, for a moment, consider incidental errors in the history of our incidental errors as an error. churches as entitled to any bearing on the succession question.

If it is true that the Waldenses were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any bearing on the succession questions for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for the Baptists did not exist at any balance were Baptists, this is bad news for exist at any balance were Baptists did not exist at any balance were bala interdenominational, ecumenical crowd who boldly claim that Baptists did not exist who time price. at any time prior to the 16th Century, and that the Baptists are merely protestants who came out of the control who time prior to the 16th Century, and that the Baptists are merely Protestante implication is that Baptists are merely Protestante in the protest inplication is that Baptists ought to work with other party line on Baptists and that the Baptists and that the Baptists and the Reformation like everyone else. The majoritation is that Baptists ought to work with other protestants rather than Baptists ought to work with other party line on a good example of the modern party line on the modern party line of the modern haintaining a policy of separation. A good example of the modern party line on Baptist origins is the following:

W. Morgan Patterson, professor of church history, Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, Louisville, Ky, . . . has this to say, . . . 'As far as a historical written record is concerned, Baptists arose from the Separatists in England. . . . Most scholars have concluded that Baptists have not been Donatists, Paulicians, Waldenses, Albigenses, Anabaptists, or a half dozen other groups often included in their genealogy.... It is only after 1610 that one finds an unbroken succession of what came to be known as Baptist churches. Furthermore, only from about 1641 have Baptist doctrine and practice been the same in all essential features that they are today. It was in the latter year that immersion as a scriptural mode of baptism was recognized among them." [2]

The absurd charge that the English Baptists did not practice immersion before 1641 has been thoroughly refuted by Armitage, Goadby, Ray and other careful church historians. But even if that falsehood was admitted as truth, it would not prove that there were no other Bible-believing immersionists elsewhere before 1641. Many, if not most, of the 16th-Century Anabaptists practiced immersion; their Reformed enemies executed many of them by drowning, as a cruel parody on their Scriptural practice of immersion of believers. Modern historians would have us believe that the Anabaptists, many of whom were true Baptists, suddenly arose out of nowhere in various regions of Europe in the 1520's, and that there were no believers of that kind anywhere on earth before that decade. The Lutheran historian Mosheim did not teach any such nonsense:

(The Anabaptists) not only considered themselves descendants of the Waldenses, who were so grievously oppressed and persecuted by the despotic heads of the Romish church, but pretend, moreover, to be the purest offspring of the respectable sufferers, being equally opposed to all principles of rebellion on the one hand, and all suggestions of fanaticism on the other.

"It may be observed, continues Mosheim, that they are not entirely in an error when they boast of their descent from the Waldenses, Petrobrussians, and other ancient sects, who are usually considered as witnesses of the truth in times of general darkness and superstition. Before the rise of Luther and Calvin, there lay concealed in almost all the countries of Europe, particularly in Bohemia, Moravia, Switzerland and Germany, many persons who adhered tenaciously to the doctrine, etc., which is the true source of all the peculiarities that are to be found in the religious doctrine and discipline of the Anabaptists." [3]

Roman Catholic historians and officials, in some instances eye-witnesses, testify that the Waldenses and other ancient communions were the same as the Anabaptists. . . . The Mandate of Speier, April, 1529, declares that the Anabaptists were hundreds of years old and had been often condemned... Father Gretscher, who edited the works of Rainerius Sacchoni, after recounting the doctor. the doctrines of the Waldenses, says: 'This is a true picture of the heretics of our age, particularly of the Anabaptists; Baronius, the most learned and laborious historian historian of the Roman Catholic Church, says, 'The Waldenses were Anabaptists.'" [4]

The myth that the original Baptists were believers within the Roman Catholic Church who came and the original Baptists were believers within the Roman Catholic Church who came out during the 16th Century, as the Protestants did, is one that is dredged up repeated. up repeatedly by modern historians. Supposedly the Baptists withdrew when the Catholic Ch. Catholic Church showed its true colors during the Council of Trent (1545-1563), as if the Catholic Church showed its true colors during the Council of Trent (1545-1563) as if the Catholic Church showed its true colors during the Council of Trent (1343-4) when it established the Catholic Church had not shown its true colors from the 13th Century onward when it established the colors against all who had when it established the Inquisition as a search-and-destroy unit against all who had Baptist Convince to the Inquisition as a search-and-destroy unit against all who had Baptist convictions. In contrast to the theory of Catholic origins for Baptists is this statement by one of the most learned men of the 18th Century:

Sir Isaac Newton, one of the greatest men who ever lived, declared it was "his conviction the conviction that are who had not symbolized conviction that the Baptists were the only Christians who had not symbolized With Rome 11 to With Rome." [5]

Lumpkin, while emphasizing the Catholic origins of many 16th-Century Baptists, grudgingly admits their connection to the Waldenses:

The Baptist Movement appeared in Europe in connection with the left wing of the Protects. the Protestant Reformation of the sixteenth century. Efforts have often been made to trace of the sixteenth century. made to trace the ideological sources of this movement to late medieval hangelical discussions. Efforts have often been proven, evangelical dissent as well as to the Reformation itself. It has not been proven, however, there is no the Reformation itself. It has not been proven, or however, that the Baptist Movement has a genetic connection with any of the Pre-Reformation pre-Reformation evangelical groups. Leaders of the Swiss Brethren or Anabaptists of Anabaptists of sixteenth-century Switzerland and Germany, in many cases having come 3 having come directly from the priesthood of the Catholic Church, admitted no formal convert formal connection with or indebtedness to earlier sects, but declared their only

source to be the Scriptures. However, careful study of their teachings leads one to judge that they reflected the outlook and some of the distinctive emphases of such groups as the Waldenses." [6]

That many Anabaptist preachers and laymen were converted from the Roman Catholic Church does not prove that the entire movement came out of that church, any more than the presence of many converted Catholics within 20th-Century Baptist churches would prove that the entire movement came out of the Catholic Church at the beginning of the 20th Century and had no separate existence prior to that time. Logic, and the studied judgment of many church historians, support the view that the Waldenses of the Middle Ages blended into, and helped give rise to, the Anabaptists of the Reformation era.

Thus we see that Baptists have been a distinct people from the time of Christ to the present day. They did not come out from the Catholic Church in various, imperfect degrees of reformation from Romanist error, as the Protestants did, but preserved the apostolic truth from the beginning and did not need to be reformed. Although born-again Protestants and Baptists are part of the same family of God, they are not part of the same Church and never have been. Baptists and Protestants have never been united in a "visible church," and knowledgeable Baptists cannot accept the view of an all-encompassing "invisible" or "universal church" which has no New Testament support. There is no historical or theological basis for any ecumenical union or cooperation between Protestants and Baptists.

A study of the Waldenses thoroughly vindicates the traditional Landmark Baptist conviction that there have always been Baptist churches on this planet in all centuries from the time of Christ to the present day. Frank S. Mead described this belief in these terms:

"There is a direct historic 'succession' of Baptist churches from New Testament times; that is, Baptist churches have existed in practice, though not by name, in every century." [7]

J.R. Graves, who based this doctrine of Baptist perpetuity on Matthew 16:18 ("On this rock will I build my church, and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it")

I have no space to devote to the historical argument to prove the continuity of the kingdom of Christ, but assure the reader that, in our opinion, it is irrefragable. All that any candid man could desire - and it is from Catholic and Protestant sources - frankly admitting that churches, substantially like the Baptists of this age, have existed, and suffered the bitterest persecution from the earliest age until now; and indeed they have been the only true religious organizations that have stood since the days of the apostles, and are older than the Roman Catholic Church itself....

"I am sustained by standard names among Baptists. J. Newton Brown, editor of English with twenty-five of Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge, a scholar who had given twenty-five years to the second seco years to the study of history, maintained that 'the ancient Waldenses, Cathari, Paterings Paterines, and Donatists were our historical ancestors, and that a succession of whom continuous whom continued up to the Reformation." [8]

Monastier says:

The Vaudois Church is a link that unites them (the Evangelical churches) to the primitive church is a link that unites them (the Evangelical Church Primitive church. By means of it they establish the anterior existence of their constitution. constitution, doctrine, and worship, to that of the papistical idolatries and errors Sandarder. It is intended errors. Such is the object of the work we now lay before the public. It is intended to prove be a very large to prove be a very large. to prove, by the fact of the uninterrupted existence of the Vaudois Church, the perpetuity of the primitive church." [9]

We have seen, from abundant evidences, that the medieval Waldenses were essentially Baptists. Thus, modern Baptists are justified in citing the Waldenses as link in a characteristic and the control of the present. a link in a chain of perpetuity of Baptist churches from apostolic times to the present.

The burden of perpetuity of Baptist churches from apostolic times to the Baptist The burden of proof is on those who would deny that there have been Baptist churches in all churches in all centuries. The New Testament has been around for 1900 years, so it is reasonable. is reasonable to believe that at all times there have been those who believed the teaching of the action of the ac teaching of the New Testament, and who put those beliefs into practice by organizing independent. independent Baptist churches. The Waldenses are among that noble host of believers through the through the centuries who dared to read the Bible, being inspiration to the Lord's in the face of fierce opposition. Let their example be an inspiration to the Lord's Larrel, op\_cit, pp\_44-47\_[2] Quoted in I.K. Cross, The Truth About Conventionism,

Texarkana, Bogard Press, 1966, p. 122. [3] Quoted in S.F. Ford, *The Origin of the Baptists*, Texarkana, Bogard Press, 1950, pp. 27-28. [4] Christian, op. cit., p. 85. [5] Ibid., p. 84. [6] William L. Lumpkin, *Baptist Confessions of Faith*, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania, Judson Press, 1959, p. 11. [7] Frank S. Mead, *Handbook of Denominations in the United States*, Nashville, Abingdon Press, 1985, p. 50. [8] J.R. Graves, *Old Landmarkism: What Is It?*, Texarkana, Bogard Press, 1880, p. 86. [9] Monastier, op. cit., p. 5.

## INDEX

<sup>Adoptionism</sup>	26
Antichrist .	
Aquinas, Thomas	11, 39
Arians	5
Associational Meetings	26 48
Autonomy of Churches	50
Berengarius	8
Cathari	51
Church Discipline	45
Church Perpetuity	61
Claudius of Turin	26
Dancing	42
$D_{onatists}$	19
Farel	35, 58
$G_{ m nosticism}$	52
Greek Orthodox Church	7
Henricians	15

Hosius	9
Hussites	43
Justification by Faith	25
Lollards	33
Marriage	53
Morland, Samuel	11
Newton, Isaac	63
Nobla Leycon	29, 53
Novatians	37-38
Oecolampadius	23, 34
Petrobrussians	15
Picards	18
Presbyterians	60
Purgatory	21
Saccho, Reinerius	7, 47
Usher, Bishop	9
Waldo, Peter	2
Westminster Confession	12
World Council of Churches	36